arXiv:1609.07248v1 [math.MG] 23 Sep 2016

A numerical proof of the Grünbaum conjecture

David Hermann

September 26, 2016

The Hahn-Banach theorem states that onto each line in every normed space, there is a unitary projection, and Kadec and Snobar [KS71] proved (using John's ellipsoid) that onto each n-dimensional subspace of any real normed space, there is a projection with norm at most $\lambda_n \leqslant \sqrt{n}$. Grünbaum [Grü60] conjectured that $\lambda_2 = 4/3 = 1.333... < 1.414... = \sqrt{2}$, which is the *projection constant* of the plane of equation $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0$ in $(\mathbb{R}^3, \| \|_\infty)$ whose norm is hexagonal, hence the 4/3... Several attempts have been made to prove this conjecture: König and Tomczak-Jaegermann published in [KTJ94] a proof that was shown incomplete by Chalmers and Lewicki, who gave their own (a bit intricate) proof in [CL10]. Here is a simpler proof, mostly based on their works, and partially on a few numerical studies of extrema of functions of 3 variables. Using arguments due to Lewis [Lew88], König and Tomczak-Jaegermann proved that if O_n^N denotes the space of n orthonormal vectors in the standard Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^N$ for every integers $1 \leqslant n \leqslant N$ and if we set for all $(u, (x, y)) \in O_1^N \times O_2^N$:

$$\Phi_2^N(u, (x, y)) := \sum_{1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant N} u_i\, u_j \left| x_i\, x_j + y_i\, y_j \right| ,$$

it suffices to prove that for each integer $N \geqslant 3$ we have:

$$\lambda_2^N := \max_{O_1^N \times O_2^N} \Phi_2^N \leqslant \frac{4}{3} ,$$

and here we leave the realm of Banach spaces geometry, and from now on our only goal will be to estimate the maximum of this function.

For any $(x, y) \in O_2^N$, the symmetric matrix $P_{x,y} := ((x_i\, x_j + y_i\, y_j))_{i,j} \in Sym_N$ represents the orthogonal projection onto the plane $\mathcal{P}_{x,y} \in O_2^N/O_2$ generated by x and y in the euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^N$, that is then regarded as sitting into $(\mathbb{R}^N, \| \|_\infty)$: this, and a clever use of the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality in a probabilistic approach explain the formulae above. Moreover, it explains the invariance of Φ_2^N under the right action of O_2 and the left actions of O_N. Our proof runs as follows: the sequence $(\lambda_2^N)_N$ clearly increases, and if we have $\lambda_2^N = \Phi_N(u, (x, y))$ where $x_N = y_N = 0$, we easily get $\lambda_2^N \leqslant \lambda_2^{N-1}$ and we can conclude by induction (we will compute $\lambda_2^3 = 4/3$ in section 4), and else in sections 1 to 4 we will reduce by symmetry to the case where $N = 2\,s + 1$ for an integer $s \geqslant 2$ and:

$$\Phi_2^N(u, (x, y)) = \phi_A(u, (x, y)) = \sum_{1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant N} a_{i,j}\, u_i\, u_j \left(x_i\, x_j + y_i\, y_j \right) ,$$

where $A = ((a_{i,j}))_{1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant N}$ is a given symmetric matrix with coefficients in $\{-1, 1\}$. In section 5, the study of the critical points of ϕ_A will allow us to prove that if $\lambda_2^N > \lambda_2^{N-1}$, then there exists two real numbers α and β such that $1/3 < \beta \leqslant \alpha \leqslant 1$ and $\alpha + \beta > 4/3$,

and a finite sequence: $0 \leqslant \theta_1 < \theta_2 < \cdots < \theta_s < \theta_{s+1} < \theta_1 + \pi < 2\,\pi$ satisfying the induction relation for each $1 \leqslant k \leqslant s$:

$$\sin\Big(\frac{\theta_{k+1}-\theta_k}{2}\Big) = \frac{1}{2\,s+1}\sqrt{\frac{1}{\beta^2} - \Big(\frac{1}{\beta^2}-\frac{1}{\alpha^2}\Big)\,\sin^2\Big(\frac{\theta_k+\theta_{k+1}}{2}\Big)} \quad ,$$

the boundary condition: $\beta\,\sin\theta_1\,\sin\theta_{s+1} + \alpha\,\cos\theta_1\,\cos\theta_{s+1} = \dfrac{1}{2s+1}$ and the equation:

$$\frac{1}{2\,s+1}\,\sum_{k=1}^{s}\,\cos\big(\,\theta_k+\theta_{k+1}\,\big) \;=\; \frac{(s+1)\,\beta - s\,\alpha}{(2s+1)\,(\alpha+\beta)} - \beta\,\sin\theta_1\,\sin\theta_{s+1} \quad .$$

Then we will prove numerically that such a sequence doesn't exist, which proves Grünbaum conjecture by induction: for s large enough, each θ_k approximates $y\Big(\dfrac{2\,k-2}{2\,s+1}\Big)$, where the function $y : [\,0\,,\,1\,] \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the differential equation:

$$y' = \frac{1}{\beta}\sqrt{1 - \Big(1-\frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^2}\Big)\,\sin^2 y}$$

with the initial condition $y(0) = \theta_1 \in [\,0\,,\,\pi\,[$, the boundary condition:

$$y(0) \leqslant y(1) \leqslant y(0)+\pi \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha\,\cos y(0)\,\cos y(1) + \beta\,\sin y(0)\,\sin y(1) \;=\; 0$$

and the integral equation (which is indeed another boundary condition):

$$\int_{y(0)}^{y(1)} \frac{\alpha\,\beta\,\cos(2\,x)\;dx}{\sqrt{\alpha^2\,\cos^2 x + \beta^2\,\sin^2 x}} + \frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha+\beta} + \frac{\alpha\,\beta\,\sin(\,2\,y(0)\,)}{\sqrt{\alpha^2\,\cos^2 y(0) + \beta^2\,\sin^2 y(0)}} \;=\; 0$$

and we will get in sections 6 to 8 explicit estimates for this kind of "middle-point at the goal method", which will allow us to reduce the problem to the numerical study of the minimum of a function of 3 variables, which will be done (using Maple) in section 9, where we will conclude for $s \geqslant 15$ since these conditions are incompatible. The remaining cases where $2 \leqslant s \leqslant 14$ will be treated similarly in section 10, but will require procedures in C (using Code::Blocks) in order to keep the computation time reasonable: on my own PC, the Maple procedures take less than 2 hours and the C procedures take less than 6 hours. Finally, in the last sections we will get the estimates on the partial derivatives of the relevant functions that are needed in sections 9 and 10 in order to conclude.

1 The symmetries of Φ_N and the matrix of signs

For each integer $N \geqslant 2$, set as above: $\lambda_2^N = \max\limits_{O_1^N \times O_2^N} \Phi_2^N$ where:

$$\Phi_2^N\,(u\,,\,(x\,,\,y)) \;=\; \sum_{1\leqslant i\,,\,j\,\leqslant N} u_i\;u_j\;\Big|\,x_i\,x_j \,+\, y_i\,y_j\,\Big| \quad \text{for all } (u\,,\,(x\,,\,y)) \in O_1^N \times O_2^N \quad ,$$

thus the sequence $(\lambda_2^N)_{\,N\geqslant 2}$ is increasing and $\Phi_2^N\,(u\,,\,(x\,,\,y)) \;\leqslant\; \Phi_2^N\,(\,|\,u\,|\,,\,(x\,,\,y))$ where:

$$|\,(u_1\,,\,\ldots\,,\,u_N)\,| = (\,|\,u_1\,|\,,\,\ldots\,,\,|\,u_N\,|\,) \in O_1^N \quad ,$$

thus the maximum of Φ_2^N is attained at a point where each u_i is nonnegative. We can permute all the coordinates without changing $O_1^N \times O_2^N$ and the value of Φ_2^N, and for all

$\varepsilon \in \{-1\,,\,1\}^N$ let $s_\varepsilon : (v_1\,,\,\dots\,,\,v_N) \mapsto (\varepsilon_1\,v_1\,,\,\dots\,,\,\varepsilon_N\,v_N)$, so $s_\varepsilon \times s_\varepsilon$ preserves O_2^N and we have: $\Phi_2^N(u\,,\,(s_\varepsilon(x)\,,\,s_\varepsilon(y))) = \Phi_2^N(u\,,\,(x\,,\,y))$ for all $(u\,,\,(x\,,\,y)) \in O_1^N \times O_2^N$, thus we are free to change the sign of $(x_i\,,\,y_i)$ for each $1 \leqslant i \leqslant N$. If $x_N = y_N = 0$, let $x = (\,x^*\,,\,0\,)$, $y = (\,y^*\,,\,0\,)$ and $u = (\,u^*\,,\,u_N)$ where $u^*\,,\,x^*\,,\,y^* \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ and $u_N \in [-1\,,\,1]$, thus $(\,x^*\,,\,y^*) \in O_2^N$ and $u^* = \sqrt{1-u_N^2}\;u^\dagger$ where $u^\dagger \in O_1^N$, and we get:

$$\Phi_2^N(u\,,\,(x\,,\,y)) \,=\, \Phi_{N-1}(u^*\,,\,(x^*\,,\,y^*)) \,=\, \left(1-u_N^2\right)\Phi_{N-1}(u^\dagger\,,\,(x^*\,,\,y^*)) \,\leqslant\, \lambda_2^{N-1} \quad ,$$

thus, arguing by induction, we can assume that Φ_2^N attains its maximum at a point where $(\,x_i\,,\,y_i) \neq 0$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant N$. For all $(\,x\,,\,y) \in O_2^N$, the matrix $((x_i\,x_j + y_i\,y_j))_{1 \leqslant i\,,\,j \leqslant N}$ represents the orthogonal projection onto the plane $\mathcal{P}_{x\,,\,y}$ generated by $(x\,,\,y)$, thus if $(\,\widetilde{x}\,,\,\widetilde{y}\,)$ is another orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{P}_{x\,,\,y}$ we have: $\Phi_2^N(\,u\,,\,(\widetilde{x}\,,\,\widetilde{y}\,)) = \Phi_2^N(u\,,\,(x\,,\,y))$. If $N = 2$, we can thus assume that $(\,x\,,\,y)$ is the canonical basis to get $\lambda_2^2 = 1$, which is geometrically obvious.

For each $(\,x\,,\,y) \in O_2^N$ and every $1 \leqslant i\,,\,j \leqslant N$, let $a_{\,i\,,\,j}(x\,,\,y) \in \{-1\,,\,+1\}$ be the sign of $x_i\,x_j + y_i\,y_j$ where 0 is positive, thus $A(x\,,\,y) \in \mathcal{A}_N \subset Sym(N) \subset M_N(\mathbb{R})$ is a symmetric matrix having coefficients in $\{-1\,,\,1\}$ and diagonal coefficients equal to 1. For all $A \in \mathcal{A}_N$ and $(u\,,\,(x\,,\,y)) \in O_1^N \times O_2^N$, we have:

$$\phi_A(u\,,\,(x\,,\,y)) \;=\; \sum_{1 \leqslant i\,,\,j \leqslant N} a_{\,i\,,\,j}\;u_i\;u_j\;\left(x_i\,x_j \,+\, y_i\,y_j\right) \;\leqslant\; \Phi_2^N(\,|\,u\,|\,,\,(x\,,\,y))$$

and $\Phi_2^N(u\,,\,(x\,,\,y)) = \phi_{A(x\,,\,y)}(u\,,\,(x\,,\,y))$, thus if Φ_2^N attains its maximum at $(u\,,\,(x\,,\,y))$, it is also the maximum of the differentiable function ϕ_A where $A = A(x\,,\,y)$ depends only on $\mathcal{P}_{x\,,\,y}$: this trick is due to Chalmers and Lewicki, like the beginning of the next section.

2 The critical points of ϕ_A

For each integer $N \geqslant 2$ and every symmetric matrix $B \in Sym(N)$, let Q_B be the quadratic form with matrix B in the canonical basis, and for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^N$ let D_v be the diagonal matrix with diagonal v. For each $A \in \mathcal{A}_N$ and every $(\,u\,,\,(x\,,\,y)) \in O_1^N \times O_2^N$, we thus have:

$$\phi_A(\,u\,,\,(x\,,\,y)) \,=\, Q_A(D_u\,x) + Q_A(D_u\,y) \,=\, Q_{B_{u\,,\,A}}(x) + Q_{B_{u\,,\,A}}(y)$$

where $B_{\,u\,,\,A} = D_u\,A\,D_u \in Sym(N)$, but also:

$$\phi_A(\,u\,,\,(x\,,\,y)) \,=\, Q_A(D_x\,u) + Q_A(D_y\,u) \,=\, Q_{B_{x\,,\,y\,,\,A}}(u)$$

where $B_{x\,,\,y\,,\,A} = B_{\,x\,,\,A} + B_{\,y\,,\,A} = D_x\,A\,D_x + D_y\,A\,D_y$. For all $B \in Sym(N)$, each critical point u of $Q_B|_{O_1^N}$ satisfies: $B\,u = \lambda\,u$ where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is a Lagrange multiplier and we get: $Q_B(u) = \lambda$, which proves that the maximum of $Q_B|_{O_1^N}$ is the largest eigenvalue of B. Similarly, let $Q_B^{[2]}(\,x\,,\,y) = Q_B(x) + Q_B(y)$ for all $(\,x\,,\,y) \in O_2^N$ and write the equations of the submanifold $O_2^N \subset \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$ as: $\langle\,x\,,\,x\rangle = 1$, $2\,\langle\,x\,,\,y\rangle = 0$ and $\langle\,y\,,\,y\rangle = 1$, so that the critical points of $Q_B^{[2]}|_{O_2^N}$ are given by the Lagrange multipliers:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} B\,x = a\,x + b\,y \\ B\,y = b\,x + c\,y \end{array}\right.$$

where $a\,,\,b\,,\,c \in \mathbb{R}$, and diagonalize the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}$ in the orthonormal group to get an orthonormal basis $(\,\widetilde{x}\,,\,\widetilde{y}\,)$ of $\mathcal{P}_{x\,,\,y}$ satisfying: $Q_B^{[2]}(\,\widetilde{x}\,,\,\widetilde{y}) \,=\, Q_B^{[2]}(\,x\,,\,y)$ and:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} B\,\widetilde{x} = \alpha\,\widetilde{x} \\ B\,\widetilde{y} = \beta\,\widetilde{y} \end{array}\right.$$

where $\alpha\,,\,\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $Q_B^{[2]}\,(\,\widetilde{x}\,,\,\widetilde{y}\,) = \alpha + \beta\,$, so the maximum of $Q_B^{[2]}|_{O_2^N}$ is the sum of the two largest eigenvalues of $B\,$. Moreover, we get: $A(\widetilde{x}\,,\,\widetilde{y}\,) = A(x\,,\,y)$ and $B_{\widetilde{x}\,,\widetilde{y}\,,\,A} = B_{x\,,\,y\,,\,A}$ since these matrices only depend on $\mathcal{P}_{x\,,\,y}\,$, so if Φ_2^N attains its maximum λ_2^N at a point $(u\,,\,(x_0\,,\,y_0)) \in O_1^N \times O_2^N\,$, we get this way a point $(u\,,\,(x\,,\,y)) \in O_1^N \times O_2^N$ satisfying:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} D_u\,A\,D_u\,x & = & \alpha\,x \\ D_u\,A\,D_u\,y & = & \beta\,y \\ \big(D_x\,A\,D_x + D_y\,A\,D_y\big)\;u & = & \lambda\,u \end{array}\right.$$

and $\lambda_2^N = \phi_A(u\,,\,(x\,,\,y)) = \alpha + \beta = \lambda\,$. If $\alpha\,\beta \neq 0$ and if there exists an index $1 \leqslant i \leqslant N$ such that $u_i = 0\,$, we get moreover: $x_i = y_i = 0\,$, and we saw that this implies $\lambda_2^N = \lambda_2^{N-1}\,$. Else, since we have $D_x\,u = D_u\,x$ and $D_y\,u = D_u\,y$ we get:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} A\,D_x\,u & = & \alpha\,D_u^{-1}\,x \\ A\,D_y\,u & = & \beta\,D_u^{-1}\,y \\ D_x\,A\,D_x\,u + D_y\,A\,D_y\,u & = & (\alpha+\beta)\,u \end{array}\right. \quad ,$$

thus: $\alpha\,D_x\,D_u^{-1}\,x + \beta\,D_y\,D_u^{-1}\,y \;=\; \alpha\,D_u^{-1}\,D_x\,x + \beta\,D_u^{-1}\,D_y\,y \;=\; (\alpha+\beta)\,u$ since diagonal matrices commute, and we obtain:

$$(\alpha+\beta)\,u_i^2 = \alpha\,x_i^2 + \beta\,y_i^2 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant N \quad .$$

Finally, for all $A \in \mathcal{A}_N\,$, for all $u \in O_1^N$ and for all $x \in O_1^N$ we get:

$$Q_{B_{u\,,\,A}}(x) \;=\; \sum_{1\,\leqslant\,i\,,\,j\,\leqslant\,N} a_{\,i\,,\,j}\,u_i\,u_j\,x_i\,x_j \;\leqslant\; \Big(\sum_{1\,\leqslant\,i\,\leqslant\,N} |\,u_i\,|\,|\,x_i\,|\,\Big)^2 \;\leqslant\; \|u\|^2\,\|x\|^2 \;=\; 1$$

by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, so all eigenvalues of $B_{u\,,\,A}$ are at most $1\,$. But we have $\lambda_2^2 = 1$ and $(\lambda_2^N)_{\,N\in\mathbb{N}}$ is increasing, thus if we assume $N \geqslant 3$ and $\alpha + \beta = \lambda_2^N > \lambda_2^{N-1}$ we obtain: $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0\,$, hence the above condition $\alpha\,\beta \neq 0$ is fulfilled.

3 The cases where A is singular

Assume that $N \geqslant 3$ and Φ_2^N attains its maximum $\lambda_2^N > \lambda_2^{N-1}$ at $(\,u\,,\,(x\,,\,y)) \in O_1^N \times O_2^N\,$, thus we can suppose that $u_i > 0$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant N\,$, and let $A = A(x\,,\,y) \in \mathcal{A}_N$ be the matrix of signs. If two rows (thus to columns) of A are equal, we can assume by the above symmetries that the last two lines of A are equal to $(\,1\;\cdots\;1\,)\,$, and $M^* \in Sym(N-1)$ will denote thereafter the matrix obtained by removing the last line and column of each symmetric matrix $M \in Sym(N)$ and we will set $v^* = (\,v_1\,,\ldots,v_{N-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ for each $v \in \mathbb{R}^N\,$. We thus have $A^{**} \in \mathcal{A}_{N-2}$ and:

$$B_{u\,,\,A} \;=\; \left(\begin{array}{ccc|cc} & & & u_1\;\;u_{N-1} & u_1\;\;u_N \\ & B_{u^{**}\,,\,A^{**}} & & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & & u_{N-2}\,u_{N-1} & u_{N-2}\,u_N \\ \hline u_1\;\;u_{N-1} & \cdots & u_{N-2}\,u_{N-1} & u_{N-1}^2 & u_{N-1}\,u_N \\ u_1\;\;u_N & \cdots & u_{N-2}\;\;u_N & u_{N-1}\,u_N & u_N^2 \end{array}\right)$$

and since $u_N \neq 0$ we can set:

$$V_u \;=\; \left(\begin{array}{ccc|cc} & & & 0 & 0 \\ & I_{N-2} & & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \cdots & 0 & \multicolumn{2}{c}{S_u} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & & \end{array}\right) \quad \text{where} \quad S_u \;=\; \frac{1}{\sqrt{u_{N-1}^2 + u_N^2}} \left(\begin{array}{cc} u_{N-1} & u_N \\ u_N & -u_{N-1} \end{array}\right)$$

and obtain: $\qquad {V_u}^{-1} \; B_{u\,,\,A} \; V_u \quad =$

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|cc} & & & u_1\,\sqrt{u_{N-1}^2 + u_N^2} & 0 \\ & B_{u^{**}\,,\,A^{**}} & & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & & u_{N-2}\,\sqrt{u_{N-1}^2 + u_N^2} & 0 \\ \hline u_1\,\sqrt{u_{N-1}^2 + u_N^2} & \cdots & u_{N-2}\,\sqrt{u_{N-1}^2 + u_N^2} & u_{N-1}^2 + u_N^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

$$= \quad \left(\begin{array}{c|c} B_{\widetilde{u}^*\,,\,A^*} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array}\right) \quad \text{where } \widetilde{u}^* \;=\; \left(\, u^{**}\,,\, \sqrt{u_{N-1}^2 + u_N^2}\, \right) \;\in\; O_1^N \quad .$$

The eigenvalues $b_1 \geqslant \ldots \geqslant b_N$ of $B_{u\,,\,A}$ are thus 0 and the eigenvalues $d_1 \geqslant \ldots \geqslant d_{N-1}$ of $B_{\widetilde{u}^*\,,\,A^*}$ and there are two cases:

- either $d_2 < 0$ and we get: $\lambda_2^N = b_1 + b_2 = d_1 + 0 \leqslant 1 \leqslant \lambda_2^{N-1}$,
- or $d_2 \geqslant 0$ and we get: $\lambda_2^N = b_1 + b_2 = d_1 + d_2$, but we also have:

$$d_1 + d_2 \;=\; \max_{(x^*\,,\,y^*)\,\in O_2^{N-1}} \phi_{A^*}(\,\widetilde{u}^*\,,\,(x^*\,,\,y^*)) \;\leqslant\; \max_{(x^*\,,\,y^*)\,\in O_2^{N-1}} \Phi_2^{N-1}(\,\widetilde{u}^*\,,\,(x^*\,,\,y^*)) \;\leqslant \lambda_2^{N-1} \quad ,$$

and in both cases we obtain $\lambda_2^N = \lambda_2^{N-1}$ and we can conclude by induction.

4 The case where A is nonsingular

Assume that $N \geqslant 3$ and Φ_N attains its maximum $\lambda_2^N > \lambda_2^{N-1}$ at $(\,u\,,\,(x^0\,,\,y^0)) \in O_1^N \times O_2^N$, so we can suppose that $u_k > 0$ and $(x_k\,,\,y_k) \neq 0$ for all $1 \leqslant k \leqslant N$. By changing the basis of $P_{x^0\,,\,y^0}$, then changing the sign of each $(x_k\,,\,y_k)$, then permuting all the coordinates, we can obtain by the above symmetries $(x\,,\,y) \in O_2^N$ such that $\Phi_2^N(u\,,\,(x\,,\,y)) = \lambda_2^N$ and:

$$x \;=\; \left(\, R_0\,,\, R_s\,\sin\phi_s\,,\,\ldots\,,\,R_1\,\sin\phi_1\;,\quad \rho_1\,\cos\psi_1\;,\;\ldots\;,\;\rho_t\,\cos\psi_t\,\right)$$

$$\text{and} \quad y \;=\; \left(\, 0\;,\, R_s\,\cos\phi_s\,,\,\ldots\,,\,R_1\,\cos\phi_1\;,\;-\rho_1\,\sin\psi_1\,,\;\ldots\;,\,-\rho_t\,\sin\psi_t\,\right)$$

where $s + t + 1 = N$, where we have: $R_i > 0$ and $0 < \phi_i \leqslant \pi/2$ for each $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$ and $\rho_j > 0$ and $0 < \psi_j \leqslant \pi/2$ for each $1 \leqslant j \leqslant t$, and where the finite sequences $(\phi_i)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant s}$ and $(\psi_j)_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant t}$ are increasing. Writing each $v \in \mathbb{R}^N$ as:

$$v \;=\; \left(\, v^0\,,\, v_s^+\,,\,\ldots\,,\,v_1^+\;,\;v_1^-\,,\,\ldots\,,\,v_t^-\right)$$

we get: $\quad x^0\,x_k + y^0\,y_k = R_0\,x_k \geqslant 0\quad$ for all $1 \leqslant k \leqslant N$ thus $\quad a_{1\,,\,k}(x\,,\,y) = +1\quad$,

$$x_i^+\,x_j^+ + y_i^+\,y_j^+ = R_i\,R_j\,\cos(\phi_i - \phi_j) > 0$$

for all $1 \leqslant i\,,\,j \leqslant s$ since $-\pi/2 < \phi_i - \phi_j < \pi/2$ thus $\quad a_{i\,,\,j}^{+\,+} = +1\quad$, and similarly:

$$x_i^-\,x_j^- + y_i^-\,y_j^- = \rho_i\,\rho_j\,\cos(\psi_i - \psi_j) > 0$$

for all $1 \leqslant i\,,\,j \leqslant t$ thus $\quad a_{i\,,\,j}^{-\,-} = +1\quad$, and if $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$ and $1 \leqslant j \leqslant t$ we get:

$$x_i^+\,x_j^- + y_i^+\,y_j^- = R_i\,\rho_j\,\sin(\phi_i - \psi_j)$$

where $\ -\pi/2 < \phi_i - \psi_j < \pi/2\ $ decreases with both indexes $k_i^+ = s+2-i$ and $l_j^- = s+1+j$, thus the symmetric sign matrix $A(x\,,\,y)$ writes:

$$A\,(x\,,\,y) \;=\; \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} +1 & (+1) & (+1) \\ \hline (+1) & (+1) & C \\ \hline (+1) & {}^tC & (+1) \end{array}\right)$$

where $C \in M_{s\,,\,t}(\,\{-1\,,\,+1\}\,)$ " has -1's bottom right", that is if $c_{k_0\,,\,l_0} = -1$ we have: $c_{k\,,\,l} = -1$ for all $k \geqslant k_0$ and all $l \geqslant l_0$. Since A cannot have to equal lines due to the previous section, the lines of C must be distinct and distinct from $(1\,\ldots\,1)$ thus $t \geqslant s$, as well as its columns thus $s \geqslant t$. We infer $t = s$ hence $N = 2\,s+1$, and (up to symmetries):

$$A\,(x\,,\,y) \;=\; A \;=\; \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c} +1 & +1 \;\cdots\; +1 & +1 \;\cdots\; +1 & +1 \\ \hline +1 & +1 \;\cdots\; +1 & +1 \;\cdots\; +1 & -1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \quad\quad \vdots & \vdots \quad\quad \iddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots \quad\quad \vdots & +1 \quad\quad \iddots & \vdots \\ +1 & +1 \;\cdots\; +1 & -1 \;\cdots\; -1 & -1 \\ \hline +1 & +1 \;\cdots\; +1 & +1 \;\cdots\; +1 & +1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \quad\quad \iddots & \vdots \quad\quad\quad\; & \vdots \\ \vdots & +1 \quad\quad \iddots & \vdots \quad\quad\quad\; & \vdots \\ +1 & -1 \;\cdots\; -1 & +1 \;\cdots\; +1 & +1 \end{array}\right) \quad ,$$

and since $a_{i\,,\,j}^{+\,-}$ is the sign of $x_i^+\,x_j^- + y_i^+\,y_j^- = R_i\,\rho_j\,\sin(\phi_i - \psi_j)$ where $-\pi/2 < \phi_i - \psi_j < \pi/2$ for all $1 \leqslant i\,,\,j \leqslant s$ we can conclude that:

$$0 \leqslant \phi_1 \leqslant \psi_1 \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \psi_{s-1} \leqslant \phi_s \leqslant \psi_s \leqslant \frac{\pi}{2} := \phi_{s+1} \quad .$$

Moreover, if we had $\phi_i = \psi_i$ for a certain index i , we could change the convention on the sign of $a_{i\,,\,i}^{+\,-} = 0$ to obtain a matrix with to equal lines which is excluded, so we get finally:

$$0 \leqslant \phi_1 < \psi_1 \leqslant \cdots < \psi_{s-1} \leqslant \phi_s < \psi_s \leqslant \phi_{s+1} = \frac{\pi}{2} \quad .$$

If $s = 1$ and $\lambda_2^3 > \lambda_2^2 = 1$ we get thus:

$$A \;=\; \left(\begin{array}{ccc} +1 & +1 & +1 \\ +1 & +1 & -1 \\ +1 & -1 & +1 \end{array}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad B_{u\,,\,A} \;=\; \left(\begin{array}{ccc} u_1^2 & u_1\,u_2 & u_1\,u_3 \\ u_1\,u_2 & u_2^2 & -u_2\,u_3 \\ u_1\,u_3 & -u_2\,u_3 & u_3^2 \end{array}\right)$$

for all $u \in O_1^N$, so the characteristic polynomial of $B_{u\,,\,A}$ is $P_u = X^3 - X^2 + 4\,u_1^2\,u_2^2\,u_3^2$. Setting $P = X^3 - X^2$ and $\sigma = 4\,u_1^2\,u_2^2\,u_3^2 \in [\,0\,,\,4/27\,]$ we get: $P_u = P + \sigma$ and the sum of all three roots of P_u equals 1 , hence the sum $b_1 + b_2$ of the largest two is maximal when the least b_3 is minimal, that is when $\sigma = 4/27$ is maximal, and we conclude that: $\lambda_2^3 = b_1 + b_2 = 2/3 + 2/3 = 4/3$.

5 The essential critical points

If we have $N > 3$ and Φ_2^N attains its maximum $\lambda_2^N > \lambda_2^{N-1}$ at $(u, (x, y)) \in O_1^N \times O_2^N$, section 4 proves that $N = 2s+1$ is odd and gives the matrix $A = A(x, y)$ up to symmetries, and in sections 1 and 2 we obtained after changing the basis of $P_{x,y}$: $D_u A D_u x = \alpha x$, $D_u A D_u y = \beta y$ and $\phi_A(u, (x, y)) = \alpha + \beta$, so we can assume that $1/3 < \beta \leqslant \alpha \leqslant 1$, and: $\alpha (x_k)^2 + \beta (y_k)^2 = (\alpha + \beta)(u_k)^2$ where $u_k > 0$ for all $1 \leqslant k \leqslant N$, which leads to:

$$x = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\alpha}} \left(u^0 \sin\theta_{s+1}, u_s^+ \sin\theta_s, \dots, u_1^+ \sin\theta_1, \ u_1^- \cos\varphi_1, \dots, u_s^- \cos\varphi_s \right)$$

$$y = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\beta}} \left(u^0 \cos\theta_{s+1}, u_s^+ \cos\theta_s, \dots, u_1^+ \cos\theta_1, -u_1^- \sin\varphi_1, \dots, -u_s^- \sin\varphi_s \right)$$

where after this change of basis of $P_{x,y}$ (which writes $\phi_k \mapsto \phi_k + \phi_0$ and $\psi_k \mapsto \psi_k - \phi_0$ for each $1 \leqslant k \leqslant s$) and this affinity in each plane (y_k, x_k) we get:

$$\theta_1 < \theta_2 < \cdots < \theta_s < \theta_{s+1} < \theta_1 + \pi$$

since half-planes are preserved by linear transformations. We also have:

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c|ccccc|cccc} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & +1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & +1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & (0) & & +1 & -1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & \vdots & & & \vdots & +1 & \ddots & (0) & \\ +1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & -1 & & & \\ \hline 0 & (0) & & +1 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots & & & \vdots \\ 0 & +1 & \ddots & (0) & & \vdots & & & \vdots \\ +1 & -1 & & & & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

and the equation $D_u A D_u v = \lambda v$ also writes: $D_u v = \lambda A^{-1} D_u^{-1} v$, thus if we set: $\varphi_0 = \theta_{s+1} - \frac{\pi}{2}$, $u_0^- = u^0$, $\theta_0 = \varphi_s - \frac{\pi}{2}$, $\varphi_{-1} = \theta_s - \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $u_0^+ = u_s^-$, we infer from $D_u A D_u x = \alpha x$ and $D_u A D_u y = \beta y$ the two systems of equations for $0 \leqslant k \leqslant s$:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2 (u_k^+)^2 \sin\theta_k = \alpha (\cos\varphi_{k-1} - \cos\varphi_k) \\ 2 (u_k^+)^2 \cos\theta_k = \beta (\sin\varphi_k - \sin\varphi_{k-1}) \end{array} \right.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2 (u_k^-)^2 \cos\varphi_k = \alpha (\sin\theta_{k+1} - \sin\theta_k) \\ 2 (u_k^-)^2 \sin\varphi_k = \beta (\cos\theta_k - \cos\theta_{k+1}) \end{array} \right. .$$

For each $0 \leqslant k \leqslant s$, the first system implies:

$$\alpha \cos\theta_k (\cos\varphi_{k-1} - \cos\varphi_k)(\sin\varphi_k + \sin\varphi_{k-1}) = \beta \sin\theta_k (\sin\varphi_k - \sin\varphi_{k-1})(\sin\varphi_k + \sin\varphi_{k-1})$$

hence (since $\sin^2\varphi_k - \sin^2\varphi_{k-1} = \cos^2\varphi_{k-1} - \cos^2\varphi_k$):

$$\alpha \cos\theta_k (\cos\varphi_{k-1} - \cos\varphi_k)(\sin\varphi_k + \sin\varphi_{k-1}) = \beta \sin\theta_k (\cos\varphi_{k-1} - \cos\varphi_k)(\cos\varphi_{k-1} + \cos\varphi_k)$$

thus either $\cos\varphi_{k-1} = \cos\varphi_k$ or:

$$\alpha\,\cos\theta_k\,(\sin\varphi_k + \sin\varphi_{k-1}) = \beta\,\sin\theta_k\,(\cos\varphi_{k-1} + \cos\varphi_k) \quad ,$$

but in the first case, we get $\sin\theta_k = 0$ thus $\cos\theta_k \neq 0$, hence $\sin\varphi_{k-1} \neq \sin\varphi_k$ thus $\sin\varphi_{k-1} = -\sin\varphi_k$, hence the second equation is always fulfilled, and it also writes:

$$\beta\,\sin\theta_k\,\cos\varphi_{k-1} - \alpha\,\cos\theta_k\,\sin\varphi_{k-1} \,=\, -\beta\,\sin\theta_k\,\cos\varphi_k + \alpha\,\cos\theta_k\,\sin\varphi_k \quad .$$

Similarly, the second system implies for each $0 \leqslant k \leqslant s$:

$$\beta\,\sin\theta_{k+1}\,\cos\varphi_k - \alpha\,\cos\theta_{k+1}\,\sin\varphi_k \,=\, -\beta\,\sin\theta_k\,\cos\varphi_k + \alpha\,\cos\theta_k\,\sin\varphi_k \quad ,$$

and we infer from these equations: $\quad -\beta\,\sin\theta_k\,\cos\varphi_k + \alpha\,\cos\theta_k\,\sin\varphi_k \quad =$

$$\beta\,\sin\theta_{k+1}\,\cos\varphi_k - \alpha\,\cos\theta_{k+1}\,\sin\varphi_k \,=\, \beta\,\sin\theta_k\,\cos\varphi_{k-1} - \alpha\,\cos\theta_k\,\sin\varphi_{k-1} \,=:\, h \in \mathbb{R}\,.$$

But for each $0 \leqslant k \leqslant s$ we obtain by the first system:

$$\begin{cases} 2\,\big(u_k^+\big)^2\,\sin^2\theta_k = \alpha\,(\cos\varphi_{k-1} - \cos\varphi_k)\,\sin\theta_k \\ 2\,\big(u_k^+\big)^2\,\cos^2\theta_k = \beta\,(\sin\varphi_k - \sin\varphi_{k-1})\,\cos\theta_k \end{cases}$$

hence: $\quad 2\,\big(u_k^+\big)^2\,\Big(\dfrac{\beta}{\alpha}\,\sin^2\theta_k + \dfrac{\alpha}{\beta}\,\cos^2\theta_k\Big) \,=\, 2\,h \quad ,$ and similarly by the second:

$$2\,\big(u_k^-\big)^2\,\Big(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\,\cos^2\varphi_k + \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\,\sin^2\varphi_k\Big) \,=\, 2\,h \quad ,$$

thus if $0 \leqslant k \leqslant s$ we have: $\quad \dfrac{\beta\,\big(x_k^+\big)^2}{\alpha+\beta} + \dfrac{\alpha\,\big(y_k^+\big)^2}{\alpha+\beta} \,=\, h \qquad$ and if $1 \leqslant k \leqslant s$:

$$\frac{\beta\,\big(x_k^-\big)^2}{\alpha+\beta} + \frac{\alpha\,\big(y_k^-\big)^2}{\alpha+\beta} \,=\, h \quad ,$$

thus it comes by adding these equations: $\beta\,\|x\|^2 + \alpha\,\|y\|^2 \,=\, (2\,s+1)\,(\alpha+\beta)\,h$, but we must have: $\|x\| \,=\, \|y\| \,=\, 1$ thus we finally infer: $h \,=\, \dfrac{1}{2\,s+1}$.

But we also have: $\|x\|^2 \,=\, \dfrac{\alpha+\beta}{\alpha}\,\Big(\,\displaystyle\sum_{k=1}^{s}\big(u_k^+\big)^2\,\sin^2\theta_k \,+\, \sum_{k=0}^{s}\big(u_k^-\big)^2\,\cos^2\varphi_k\Big)$, thus by the systems above we infer:

$$\frac{2}{\alpha+\beta}\,\|x\|^2 \,=\, \sum_{k=1}^{s}\big(\,\cos\varphi_{k-1} - \cos\varphi_k\,\big)\,\sin\theta_k \,+\, \sum_{k=0}^{s}\big(\,\sin\theta_{k+1} - \sin\theta_k\,\big)\,\cos\varphi_k \quad ,$$

hence $\|x\| = 1$ implies (since $\cos\varphi_0 = \sin\theta_{s+1}$ and $\sin\theta_0 = -\cos\varphi_s$):

$$\sin\theta_1\,\sin\theta_{s+1} \,+\, \sum_{k=1}^{s}\big(\,\sin\theta_{k+1} - \sin\theta_k\,\big)\,\cos\varphi_k \,=\, \frac{1}{\alpha+\beta} \quad .$$

Moreover, we obtained for each $1 \leqslant k \leqslant s$:

$$\begin{cases} -\cos\theta_{k+1}\,\big(\,\alpha\,\sin\varphi_k\,\big) \,+\, \sin\theta_{k+1}\,\big(\,\beta\,\cos\varphi_k\,\big) \;=\; \dfrac{1}{2\,s+1} \\ \qquad \cos\theta_k\,\big(\,\alpha\,\sin\varphi_k\,\big) \,-\, \sin\theta_k\,\big(\,\beta\,\cos\varphi_k\,\big) \;=\; \dfrac{1}{2\,s+1} \end{cases}$$

and this system in $(\alpha\,\sin\varphi_k\,,\,\beta\,\cos\varphi_k)$ has determinant $\sin\big(\theta_k-\theta_{k+1}\big)<0$ so it comes:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}\alpha\,\sin\varphi_k\\ \beta\,\cos\varphi_k\end{array}\right)=\frac{1}{(2s+1)\,\sin(\theta_{k+1}-\theta_k)}\left(\begin{array}{c}\sin\theta_k+\sin\theta_{k+1}\\ \cos\theta_k+\cos\theta_{k+1}\end{array}\right)\quad,$$

which implies (since $\cos^2\varphi_k+\sin^2\varphi_k=1$):

$$\alpha^2\,(\cos\theta_{k+1}+\cos\theta_k)^2+\beta^2\,(\sin\theta_{k+1}+\sin\theta_k)^2=(2s+1)^2\,\alpha^2\,\beta^2\sin^2(\theta_{k+1}-\theta_k)\quad,$$

hence by the usual trigonometric formulae:

$$\alpha^2\,\cos^2\Big(\frac{\theta_k+\theta_{k+1}}{2}\Big)+\beta^2\,\sin^2\Big(\frac{\theta_k+\theta_{k+1}}{2}\Big)=(2s+1)^2\,\alpha^2\,\beta^2\,\sin^2\Big(\frac{\theta_k-\theta_{k+1}}{2}\Big)$$

since $0<\dfrac{\theta_{k+1}-\theta_k}{2}<\dfrac{\pi}{2}$, hence $\cos\Big(\dfrac{\theta_k-\theta_{k+1}}{2}\Big)\neq 0$, and we get the induction relation:

$$\sin\Big(\frac{\theta_{k+1}-\theta_k}{2}\Big)=\frac{1}{2\,s+1}\sqrt{\frac{1}{\beta^2}-\Big(\frac{1}{\beta^2}-\frac{1}{\alpha^2}\Big)\,\sin^2\Big(\frac{\theta_k+\theta_{k+1}}{2}\Big)}$$

which determines θ_{k+1} knowing θ_k (see section 6). Moreover, we obtained the relation:

$$\beta\,\sin\theta_1\,\sin\theta_{s+1}+\alpha\,\cos\theta_1\,\cos\theta_{s+1}=\frac{1}{2s+1}$$

which is a boundary condition on the sequence $(\theta_k)_{\,1\leqslant k\leqslant s+1}$, and the "norm equation":

$$\sin\theta_1\,\sin\theta_{s+1}+\sum_{k=1}^{s}\,\big(\sin\theta_{k+1}-\sin\theta_k\big)\,\cos\varphi_k\;=\;\frac{1}{\alpha+\beta}\quad,$$

hence by the above expression of $\beta\,\cos\varphi_k$:

$$\sin\theta_1\,\sin\theta_{s+1}+\frac{2}{(2s+1)\,\beta}\sum_{k=1}^{s}\,\cos^2\Big(\frac{\theta_k+\theta_{k+1}}{2}\Big)\;=\;\frac{1}{\alpha+\beta}\quad,$$

and, by usual trigonometric formulae, we can finally write the norm equation as:

$$\frac{1}{2\,s+1}\,\sum_{k=1}^{s}\,\cos\big(\,\theta_k+\theta_{k+1}\,\big)\;=\;\frac{(s+1)\,\beta-s\,\alpha}{(2s+1)\,(\alpha+\beta)}-\beta\,\sin\theta_1\,\sin\theta_{s+1}\quad.$$

Moreover, these three relations are invariant under the global translation $\theta_k\mapsto\theta_k+\pi$ for $1\leqslant k\leqslant s+1$, thus we can assume hereafter that: $\;0\leqslant\theta_1<\pi\;$.

6 The differential equation

If we have $s\geqslant 2$ and $\lambda_2^{2\,s+1}>\lambda_2^{2\,s-1}$, we obtained two real numbers $\;1/3<\beta\leqslant\alpha\leqslant 1\;$ satisfying $\;\alpha+\beta>4/3\;$ and a finite sequence:

$$0\leqslant\theta_1<\theta_2<\cdots<\theta_s<\theta_{s+1}<\theta_1+\pi<2\,\pi$$

satisfying the induction relation for each $1\leqslant k\leqslant s$:

$$\sin\Big(\frac{\theta_{k+1}-\theta_k}{2}\Big)\;=\;\frac{1}{2\,s+1}\sqrt{\frac{1}{\beta^2}-\Big(\frac{1}{\beta^2}-\frac{1}{\alpha^2}\Big)\,\sin^2\Big(\frac{\theta_k+\theta_{k+1}}{2}\Big)}\quad,$$

hence if s is large enough, each $\theta_{k+1} - \theta_k$ is small and it becomes:

$$\theta_{k+1} - \theta_k \simeq \frac{2}{2\,s+1} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\beta^2} - \Big(\frac{1}{\beta^2} - \frac{1}{\alpha^2}\Big) \sin^2\Big(\frac{\theta_k + \theta_{k+1}}{2}\Big)} \quad ,$$

thus each θ_k approximates $y\Big(\dfrac{2\,k-2}{2\,s+1}\Big)$ by some kind of "middle-point at the goal method", where $y : [\,0\,,\,1\,] \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the the differential equation:

$$y' = f(y) := \frac{1}{\beta} \sqrt{1 - \Big(1 - \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^2}\Big) \sin^2 y}$$

and the initial condition $y(0) = \theta_1$. First of all, we compute easily:

$$\|f\|_\infty = \frac{1}{\beta} \leqslant 3 \quad , \quad \|f'\|_\infty = \frac{1}{\beta} - \frac{1}{\alpha} \leqslant 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|f''\|_\infty = \alpha\Big(\frac{1}{\beta^2} - \frac{1}{\alpha^2}\Big) \leqslant 8 \quad ,$$

thus f is 2-Lipschitz. For each $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, let: $g_\theta(x) = \sin x - h\,f(\theta + x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ where $h = 1/(2\,s+1)$, thus we have: $g'_\theta(x) = \cos x - h\,f'(\theta + x) \geqslant \cos x - 2\,h$, hence g_θ is strictly increasing on $[\,0\,,\,x_0\,]$ where $x_0 = \arccos(2\,h)$, and we have: $g_\theta(0) < 0$ and $g_\theta(x) \geqslant \sqrt{1 - 4\,h^2} - 3\,h > 0$ if $s \geqslant 2$ and $x \in [\,x_0\,,\,\frac{\pi}{2}\,]$, thus there exists a unique point $\delta\theta \in [\,0\,,\,\frac{\pi}{2}\,]$ such that: $g_\theta(\delta\theta) = 0$. This shows that the induction relation determines θ_{k+1} knowing θ_k , and we get: $\theta_{k+1} = \theta_k + 2\,\delta\theta_k$ where $0 < \delta\theta_k \leqslant \arcsin(3\,h)$.

For each $\varphi_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, consider on one hand: $\widetilde{\varphi_1} = \varphi_0 + \delta\varphi_0$ and $\widetilde{\varphi_2} = \varphi_0 + 2\,\delta\varphi_0$ as above, and on the other hand, let y be the solution of $y' = f(y)$ satisfying $y(0) = \varphi_0$ and set: $\varphi_1 = y(h)$ and $\varphi_2 = y(2\,h)$. We obtain:

$$y'' = \frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{1}{\alpha^2} - \frac{1}{\beta^2}\Big) \sin\big(2\,y\big) \quad , \quad y''' = \Big(\frac{1}{\alpha^2} - \frac{1}{\beta^2}\Big) \cos\big(2\,y\big)\,y' \qquad \text{and}$$

$$y^{(4)} = \frac{1}{4}\Big(\frac{1}{\alpha^2} - \frac{1}{\beta^2}\Big)^2 \sin\big(4\,y\big) - 2\Big(\frac{1}{\alpha^2} - \frac{1}{\beta^2}\Big) \sin\big(2\,y\big)\,\big(y'\big)^2 \quad ,$$

thus: $\|y'\|_\infty \leqslant 3$, $\|y''\|_\infty \leqslant 4$, $\|y'''\|_\infty \leqslant 24$ and $\|y^{(4)}\|_\infty \leqslant 160$. We infer at first that: $\varphi_0 \leqslant \varphi_2 \leqslant 6\,h$, but if we write:

$$\varphi_0 = \varphi_1 - h\,f(\varphi_1) + \frac{h^2}{2}\,y''(h) - \frac{h^3}{6}\,y'''(t_1) \text{ and } \varphi_2 = \varphi_1 + h\,f(\varphi_1) + \frac{h^2}{2}\,y''(h) + \frac{h^3}{6}\,y'''(t_2)$$

where $0 < t_1 < h < t_2 < 2\,h$, we obtain moreover: $|\,\varphi_2 - \varphi_0 - 2\,h\,f(\varphi_1)\,| \leqslant 8\,h^3$, and we have: $f(\varphi_1) \geqslant 1/\alpha \geqslant 1$, thus if we set:

$$\Delta\varphi_0 = \frac{\varphi_2 - \varphi_0}{2}\,, \text{ we get: } 0.9958\,h\,f(\varphi_1) \leqslant \Delta\varphi_0 \leqslant 1.0042\,h\,f(\varphi_1) \text{ whenever } s \geqslant 15$$

that is the essence of the quadratic convergence of the middle-point method, and from now on we will assume that $s \geqslant 15$. In the same way, we have first:

$$\varphi_0 = \varphi_1 - h\,f(\varphi_1) + \frac{h^2}{2}\,y''(t_3) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_2 = \varphi_1 + h\,f(\varphi_1) + \frac{h^2}{2}\,y''(t_4)$$

where $0 < t_3 < h < t_4 < 2\,h$, hence: $\Big|\,\dfrac{\varphi_0 + \varphi_2}{2} - \varphi_1\,\Big| \leqslant 2\,h^2$, but if we write:

$$\varphi_0 = \varphi_1 - h\,f(\varphi_1) + \frac{h^2}{2}\,y''(h) - \frac{h^3}{6}\,y'''(h) + \frac{h^4}{24}\,y^{(4)}(t_5)$$

$$\text{and} \quad \varphi_2 = \varphi_1 + h\, f(\varphi_1) + \frac{h^2}{2}\, y''(h) + \frac{h^3}{6}\, y'''(h) + \frac{h^4}{24}\, y^{(4)}(t_6)$$

where $0 < t_5 < h < t_6 < 2\,h$, we obtain moreover:

$$\Big|\, \frac{\varphi_0 + \varphi_2}{2} - \varphi_1 - \frac{1}{4}\Big(\frac{1}{\alpha^2} - \frac{1}{\beta^2}\Big)\, \sin\big(2\,\varphi_1\big)\, h^2 \,\Big| \leqslant \frac{20}{3}\, h^4 \quad ,$$

thus since f is 2-Lipschitz:

$$\Big|\, f\Big(\, \frac{\varphi_0 + \varphi_2}{2}\,\Big) - f\Big(\, \varphi_1 - \frac{1}{4}\Big(\frac{1}{\alpha^2} - \frac{1}{\beta^2}\Big)\, \sin\big(2\,\varphi_1\big)\, h^2\,\Big) \,\Big| \leqslant \frac{40}{3}\, h^4 \quad ,$$

hence since $\|f''\|_\infty \leqslant 8$:

$$\Big|\, f\Big(\, \frac{\varphi_0 + \varphi_2}{2}\,\Big) - f(\varphi_1) + \frac{1}{4}\Big(\frac{1}{\alpha^2} - \frac{1}{\beta^2}\Big)\, f'(\varphi_1)\, \sin\big(2\,\varphi_1\big)\, h^2 \,\Big| \leqslant \frac{88}{3}\, h^4 \quad .$$

Similarly, we obtained above: $|\,\Delta\varphi_0 - h\, f(\varphi_1)\,| \leqslant 4\, h^3$, hence since f is 2-Lipschitz:

$$\Big|\, \Delta\varphi_0 - h\, f\Big(\, \frac{\varphi_0 + \varphi_2}{2}\,\Big)\,\Big| \leqslant 4\, h^3 + h\,\Big|\, f\Big(\, \frac{\varphi_0 + \varphi_2}{2}\,\Big) - f(\varphi_1)\,\Big| \leqslant 8\, h^3 \quad ,$$

as well as: $0 \leqslant \Delta\varphi_0 \leqslant 3\, h$ hence: $\Big|\, \sin\Delta\varphi_0 - \Delta\varphi_0\,\Big| \leqslant \frac{9}{2}\, h^3$ and we infer at first:

$$\Big|\, g_{\varphi_0}\big(\,\Delta\varphi_0\,\big)\,\Big| \leqslant \frac{25}{2}\, h^3 \quad ,$$

but we get now: $\Big|\, \frac{\varphi_2 - \varphi_0}{2} - f(\varphi_1)\, h - \frac{1}{6}\Big(\frac{1}{\alpha^2} - \frac{1}{\beta^2}\Big)\, \cos\big(2\,\varphi_1\big)\, f(\varphi_1)\, h^3\,\Big| \leqslant \frac{20}{3}\, h^4$ thus:

$$\Big|\, \Delta\varphi_0 - h\, f\Big(\, \frac{\varphi_0 + \varphi_2}{2}\,\Big)\,\Big| \leqslant \Big(\frac{1}{\beta^2} - \frac{1}{\alpha^2}\Big)\,\Big|\, \frac{\cos(2\,\varphi_1)\, f(\varphi_1)}{6} + \frac{\sin(2\,\varphi_1)\, f'(\varphi_1)}{4}\,\Big|\, h^3 + 36\, h^4 \quad ,$$

but we have: $\Big|\, \sin\Delta\varphi_0 - \Delta\varphi_0\,\Big| \leqslant \frac{1}{6}\,\big(\,1.0042\, h\, f(\varphi_1)\,\big)^3 \leqslant 0.17\, f^3(\varphi_1)$ and we obtain:

$$\Big|\, g_{\varphi_0}\big(\,\Delta\varphi_0\,\big)\,\Big| \leqslant \Big(\,\Big(\frac{1}{\beta^2} - \frac{1}{\alpha^2}\Big)\,\Big|\, \frac{\cos(2\,\varphi_1)\, f(\varphi_1)}{6} + \frac{\sin(2\,\varphi_1)\, f'(\varphi_1)}{4}\,\Big| + 0.17\, f^3(\varphi_1) + 36\, h\Big)\, h^3$$

which is a better estimate. Finally, we have to estimate the derivative of g_{φ_0} : we have: $0 \leqslant \delta\varphi_0 \leqslant \arcsin(3\, h)$ and: $0 \leqslant \Delta\varphi_0 \leqslant 3\, h \leqslant \arcsin(3\, h)$, hence for all real $x \in [\,\delta\varphi_0\,,\,\Delta\varphi_0\,]$ we obtain: $|\,g'_{\varphi_0}(x)\,| \geqslant \sqrt{1 - 9\, h^2} - 2\, h \geqslant 0.93$, and since we have: $g_{\varphi_0}(\delta\varphi_0) = 0$ we get a first estimate that would require too much computations:

$$\Big|\, \Delta\varphi_0 - \delta\varphi_0\,\Big| \leqslant \frac{1}{0.93}\, \frac{25}{2}\, h^3 \leqslant 13.43\, h^3 \quad .$$

But now we can improve numerically this estimate in order to reduce the computation time later on. We obtained first:

$$\Big|\, \varphi_0 + \Delta\varphi_0 - \varphi_1\,\Big| = \Big|\, \frac{\varphi_0 + \varphi_2}{2} - \varphi_1\,\Big| \leqslant 2\, h^2$$

and: $\Big|\, \varphi_0 + \delta\varphi_0 - \varphi_1\,\Big| \leqslant \Big|\, \varphi_0 + \Delta\varphi_0 - \varphi_1\,\Big| + \Big|\, \Delta\varphi_0 - \delta\varphi_0\,\Big| \leqslant 2\, h^2 + 13.43\, h^3 \leqslant 2.44\, h^2$, thus for all $x \in [\,\delta\varphi_0\,,\,\Delta\varphi_0\,]$ we get: $g'_{\varphi_0}(x) \geqslant \cos(x) - h\, f'(\varphi_1) - 8 \times 2.44\, h^3$, and: $0 \leqslant \Delta\varphi_0 \leqslant 1.0042\, h\, f(\varphi_1)$ hence $0 \leqslant \delta\varphi_0 \leqslant 1.02\, h\, f(\varphi_1) \leqslant 0.033\, f(\varphi_1)$, thus:

$$g'_{\varphi_0}(x) \geqslant \cos\big(0.033\, f(\varphi_1)\big) - \frac{1}{31}\, \max\big(f'(\varphi_1)\,,\,0\big) - 0.00066 \quad .$$

We obtain finally: $\left|\Delta\varphi_0 - \delta\varphi_0\right| \leqslant M\,h^3$, where M is the maximum of the function G defined by:

$$G(A\,,\,B\,,\,\varphi_1) \,=\, \Big(\big(B-A\big)\,\Big|\,\frac{\cos(2\,\varphi_1)\,f(\varphi_1)}{6} + \frac{\sin(2\,\varphi_1)\,f'(\varphi_1)}{4}\,\Big| + 0.17\,f^3(\varphi_1) + \frac{36}{31}\Big)$$

$$\Big/\,\Big(\cos\big(0.033\,f(\varphi_1)\big) - \frac{1}{31}\,\max\big(f'(\varphi_1)\,,\,0\big) - 0.00066\Big)$$

for $1 \leqslant A = \dfrac{1}{\alpha^2} \leqslant B = \dfrac{1}{\beta^2} \leqslant 9$, $\dfrac{1}{\sqrt{A}} + \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{B}} \geqslant \dfrac{4}{3}$ and $0 \leqslant \varphi_1 \leqslant \pi$, where:

$$f(\varphi_1) \,=\, \sqrt{B\,\cos^2\varphi_1 + A\,\sin^2\varphi_1} \quad \text{and} \quad f'(\varphi_1) \,=\, \big(A-B\big)\,\frac{\sin(2\,\varphi_1)}{2\,f(\varphi_1)} \quad .$$

We get easily: $\left|\dfrac{\partial G}{\partial A}\right| \leqslant 16\,,\, \left|\dfrac{\partial G}{\partial B}\right| \leqslant 16$ and $\left|\dfrac{\partial G}{\partial \varphi_1}\right| \leqslant 64$, and the Maple procedure:

```
h0:=evalf(1/31): a1:=evalf(1+4*h0^2): c1:=evalf((a1)^3/6):
derg0:=evalf(sqrt(1-9*h0^2)-2*h0): err0:=12.5/derg0: err1:=2+err0*h0:
c2:=8*err1*h0^3: a2:=a1+err0*h0^2: c3:=a2*h0:  derG:=evalf(20+3.2*Pi);
N:=140; MM:=0: for iA from 0  to N do A:=evalf(1+5*iA/(4*N)):
Bmax:=evalf((4/3-1/sqrt(A))^(-2)):iBmax:=evalf(floor((Bmax-1)*4*N/5)):
for iB from iA  to iBmax do B:=evalf(1+5*iB/(4*N)):
for iphi from 0 to 10*N do phi:= evalf(Pi*iphi/(10*N)):
f:=evalf(sqrt(B*(cos(phi))^2+A*(sin(phi))^2)):
derf:=evalf((A-B)*sin(phi)*cos(phi)/f):
derg:=evalf(cos(f*c3)-h0*max(derf,0)-c2):
G:=evalf(((B-A)*abs(cos(2*phi)*f/6+sin(2*phi)*derf/4)+c1*f^3+36*h0)/derg):
if G>MM then MM:=G: fi: od: od: od: MM; M:=evalf(MM+derG/N);
```

realizes a step $5/(4\,N)$ on A and B and $\pi/(10\,N)$ on φ_1, hence an uncertainty of $30.06/N$ on the value of M (see section 9), and for $N = 140$ it answers $M < 10$ in 50 minutes, thus we can conclude that: $|\,\varphi_2 - \widetilde{\varphi_2}\,| \leqslant 20\,h^3$ and the "consistency error in the middle" is:

$$\left|\,\varphi_1 - \frac{\varphi_0 + \widetilde{\varphi_2}}{2}\,\right| \,\leqslant\, \left|\,\varphi_1 - \frac{\varphi_0 + \varphi_2}{2}\,\right| + \left|\,\Delta\varphi_0 - \delta\varphi_0\,\right| \,\leqslant\, 2\,h^2 + 10\,h^3 \quad .$$

For all integers $0 \leqslant j \leqslant 2\,s+1$ let $t_j = j\,h$, and for all integers $1 \leqslant k \leqslant s$ let y_k be the solution of $y' = f(y)$ such that $y_k(t_{2\,k-2}) = \theta_k$ and let $\widetilde{\theta}_{k+1} = y_k(t_{2\,k})$ as above. Set $y = y_1$, and for all $1 \leqslant k \leqslant s+1$ set: $\varepsilon_k = |\,y(t_{2\,k-2}) - \theta_k\,| = |\,(y-y_k)\,(t_{2\,k-2})\,|$ to obtain: $\varepsilon_1 = 0$ and $|\,(y-y_k)\,'\,| \leqslant 2\;|\,y-y_k\,|$, thus by the Gronwall lemma:

$$|\,y(t_{2\,k}) - \widetilde{\theta}_{k+1}\,| = |\,(y-y_k)\,(t_{2\,k})\,| \,\leqslant\, \varepsilon_k\,e^{\,2\,|t_{2\,k}-t_{2\,k-2}|} \,=\, e^{4\,h}\,\varepsilon_k$$

for all integers $1 \leqslant k \leqslant s$, hence it comes:

$$\varepsilon_{k+1} \,\leqslant |\,y(t_{2\,k}) - \widetilde{\theta}_{k+1}\,| + |\,\widetilde{\theta}_{k+1} - \theta_{k+1}\,| \leqslant\, e^{4\,h}\,\varepsilon_k + 20\,h^3$$

by the above estimate, thus for all integers $1 \leqslant k \leqslant s+1$ we get:

$$\left|\,\theta_k - y(t_{2\,k-2})\,\right| \,=\, \varepsilon_k \,\leqslant\, 20\,h^3\,\frac{e^{\,4\,h\,(k-1)} - 1}{e^{\,4\,h} - 1} \,\leqslant\, 5\,h^2\,\big(e^{\,2\,t_{2\,k-2}} - 1\big) \quad ,$$

where y is the solution of $y' = f(y)$ satisfying $y(0) = \theta_1$. Moreover, we also get: $|(y - y_k)(t_{2\,k-1})| \leqslant e^{2\,h}\,\varepsilon_k$ for all $1 \leqslant k \leqslant s$ and we obtained:

$$\Big| y_k(t_{2\,k-1}) - \frac{\theta_k + \theta_{k+1}}{2} \Big| \leqslant 2\,h^2 + 10\,h^3 \quad ,$$

thus it comes:

$$\Big| \frac{\theta_k + \theta_{k+1}}{2} - y(t_{2\,k-1}) \Big| \leqslant 2\,h^2 + 10\,h^3 + e^{2\,h}\,\varepsilon_k \leqslant 2\,h^2 + 10\,h^3 + 5\,h^2\,\big(e^{(4\,k-2)\,h} - 1 - 2\,h\big)$$

hence: $\Big| \frac{\theta_k + \theta_{k+1}}{2} - y(t_{2\,k-1}) \Big| \leqslant 5\,h^2\,\big(e^{2\,t_{2\,k-1}} - 1\big) + 2\,h^2$.

7 The boundary condition

When θ_1 is fixed, θ_{s+1} goes to $y(1)$ when s goes to infinity, and the boundary condition:

$$g(\theta_{s+1}) := \alpha\,\cos\theta_1\,\cos\theta_{s+1} + \beta\,\sin\theta_1\,\sin\theta_{s+1} = \frac{1}{2\,s+1} = h$$

where $\theta_1 < \theta_{s+1} < \theta_1 + \pi$ becomes: $y(1) = \theta_\perp$ where $g(\theta_\perp) = 0$ and $\theta_1 < \theta_\perp \leqslant \theta_1 + \pi$, thus if we set: $\theta_\perp = \theta_1 + \pi/2 + \phi$ with $-\pi/2 < \phi \leqslant \pi/2$ it comes:

$$\theta_\perp = \theta_1 + \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan\Big(\frac{(\alpha - \beta)\,\sin\theta_1\,\cos\theta_1}{\alpha\,\cos^2\theta_1 + \beta\,\sin^2\theta_1}\Big)$$

as well as: $\cos\theta_\perp = \dfrac{-\beta\,\sin\theta_1}{\sqrt{\alpha^2\,\cos^2\theta_1 + \beta^2\,\sin^2\theta_1}}$, $\sin\theta_\perp = \dfrac{\alpha\,\cos\theta_1}{\sqrt{\alpha^2\,\cos^2\theta_1 + \beta^2\,\sin^2\theta_1}}$,

$$\cos\theta_1 = \frac{\beta\,\sin\theta_\perp}{\sqrt{\alpha^2\,\cos^2\theta_\perp + \beta^2\,\sin^2\theta_\perp}} \quad \text{and} \quad \sin\theta_1 = \frac{-\alpha\,\cos\theta_\perp}{\sqrt{\alpha^2\,\cos^2\theta_\perp + \beta^2\,\sin^2\theta_\perp}} \quad .$$

The main point here is that θ_{s+1} approximates indeed $y(1-h)$ instead of $y(1)$, thus one more half-step of our middle-point method will give a better estimate of $y(1)$, hence a sharper boundary condition for the differential equation. For each $\phi \in \mathbb{R}$ we have:

$$g(\phi) = \frac{-\alpha\,\beta}{\sqrt{\alpha^2\,\cos^2\theta_\perp + \beta^2\,\sin^2\theta_\perp}}\,\sin\big(\phi - \theta_\perp\big) = -\frac{\sin\big(\phi - \theta_\perp\big)}{f(\theta_\perp)}$$

hence we obtain: $\theta_{s+1} = \theta_\perp - \arcsin\big(h\,f(\theta_\perp)\big)$, but we have: $\|f\|_\infty \leqslant 3$ thus it comes:

$$|\,\theta_{s+1} - \big(\theta_\perp - h\,f(\theta_\perp)\big)\,| \leqslant \frac{1 + 2\,(3\,h)^2}{6\,\big(1 - (3\,h)^2\big)^{\frac{5}{2}}}\,(3\,h)^3 \leqslant 4.7\,h^3$$

since we assume that $s \geqslant 15$. The function z such that $z' = f(z)$ and $z(1) = \theta_\perp$ also satisfies: $|\,z''(t)\,| \leqslant 4$ for all t hence:

$$|\,z(1-h) - (\theta_\perp - h\,f(\theta_\perp))\,| \leqslant 2\,h^2 \quad ,$$

thus we obtain: $|\,z(1-h) - \theta_{s+1}\,| \leqslant 2\,h^2 + 4.7\,h^3$, hence by the above estimate:

$$|\,z(1-h) - y(1-h)\,| \leqslant 2\,h^2 + 4.7\,h^3 + 5\,h^2\,\big(e^{2\,(1-h)} - 1\big) \quad .$$

But f is 2-lipschitz, thus we get: $|\,y(1)-\theta_\perp\,| = |\,z(1)-y(1)\,| \leqslant e^{\,2\,h}\;|\,z(1-h)-y(1-h)\,|$ and finally: $|\,y(1)-\theta_\perp\,| \leqslant e^{\,2\,h}\,\big(2\,h^2+4.7\,h^3\big)+5\,h^2\,\big(e^{\,2}-e^{\,2\,h}\big)$, hence:

$$\Big|\,y(1)-\theta_\perp\,\Big| \;\leqslant\; \big(5\,e^{\,2}-(3-4.7\,h)\,e^{\,2\,h}\big)\,h^2 \;\leqslant\; 33.95\,h^2\,.$$

Moreover, we obtain: $g(\phi) = -\sqrt{\alpha^2\,\cos^2\theta_1+\beta^2\,\sin\theta_1}\,\sin\big(\phi-\theta_\perp\big)$ for all $\phi\in\mathbb{R}$, hence:

$$\theta_{s+1} \;=\; \theta_\perp - \arcsin\Big(\frac{h}{\sqrt{\alpha^2\,\cos^2\theta_1+\beta^2\,\sin\theta_1}}\Big) \quad ,$$

thus: $\Big|\,\theta_{s+1}-\Big(\theta_\perp-\dfrac{h}{\sqrt{\alpha^2\,\cos^2\theta_1+\beta^2\,\sin\theta_1}}\Big)\,\Big| \;\leqslant\; 4.7\,h^3$ as above, then it comes:

$$\Big|\,\sin\theta_{s+1}-\Big(\sin\theta_\perp-\frac{h\,\cos\theta_\perp}{\sqrt{\alpha^2\,\cos^2\theta_1+\beta^2\,\sin\theta_1}}\Big)\,\Big| \;\leqslant\; 4.7\,h^3+\frac{1}{2}\,(3\,h)^2 \;\leqslant\; 4.66\,h^2$$

since $\alpha\geqslant\beta\geqslant 1/3$, hence:

$$\Big|\,\sin\theta_{s+1}-\Big(\frac{\alpha\,\cos\theta_1}{\sqrt{\alpha^2\,\cos^2\theta_1+\beta^2\,\sin\theta_1}}+\frac{\beta\,h\,\sin\theta_1}{(\alpha^2\,\cos^2\theta_1+\beta^2\,\sin\theta_1)}\Big)\,\Big| \;\leqslant\; 4.66\,h^2 \quad ,$$

and we get the following estimate which will be useful in the next section:

$$\Big|\,\sin\theta_1\,\sin\theta_{s+1}-\Big(\frac{\alpha\,\sin\theta_1\,\cos\theta_1}{\sqrt{\alpha^2\,\cos^2\theta_1+\beta^2\,\sin\theta_1}}+\frac{\beta\,h\,\sin^2\theta_1}{(\alpha^2\,\cos^2\theta_1+\beta^2\,\sin\theta_1)}\Big)\,\Big| \;\leqslant\; 4.66\,h^2 \quad .$$

8 The integral equation

The norm equation writes:

$$2\,h\,\sum_{k=1}^{s}\cos\big(\,\theta_k+\theta_{k+1}\big) \;=\; \frac{(2\,s+2)\,\beta-2\,s\,\alpha}{(2\,s+1)\,(\alpha+\beta)}-2\,\beta\,\sin\theta_1\,\sin\theta_{s+1} \quad ,$$

and for all $1\leqslant k\leqslant s$ we obtained in section 6:

$$\Big|\,\frac{\theta_k+\theta_{k+1}}{2}-y(t_{2\,k-1})\,\Big| \;\leqslant\; 5\,h^2\,\big(e^{\,2\,t_{2\,k-1}}-1\big)+2\,h^2$$

whenever $s\geqslant 15$, thus:

$$\Big|\,\cos\big(\theta_k+\theta_{k+1}\big)-\cos\big(2\,y(t_{2\,k-1})\big)\,\Big| \;\leqslant\; 10\,h^2\,\big(e^{\,(4\,k-2)\,h}-1\big)+4\,h^2 \quad .$$

If $I_s = 2\,h\,\sum_{k=1}^{s}\cos\big(2\,y(t_{2\,k-1})\big)$ denotes the approximation of $\int_0^{1-h}\cos\big(\,2\,y(t)\big)\,dt$ obtained by the middle point method, we get first:

$$\Delta_s = \Big|\,2\,h\,\sum_{k=1}^{s}\cos\big(\,\theta_k+\theta_{k+1}\big)-I_s\,\Big| \;\leqslant\; 2\,h\,\sum_{k=1}^{s}\Big(\,10\,h^2\,\big(\,e^{\,(4\,k-2)\,h}-1\,\big)+4\,h^2\,\Big)$$

$$=\; 4\,h^3\,\Big(\,5\,e^{\,2\,h}\,\frac{e^{\,4\,s\,h}-1}{e^{\,4\,h}-1}-3\,s\,\Big) \;\leqslant\; 5\,h^2\,e^{\,2\,h}\,\big(e^{\,4\,s\,h}-1\big)-12\,s\,h^3 \;\leqslant\; \big(5\,e^{\,2}-10\big)\,h^2 \quad .$$

If we set now: $g(t) = \cos\big(2\,y(t)\big)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we get:

$$g''(t) = -4\,\cos\big(2\,y(t)\big)\,\big(y'(t)\big)^2 - 2\,\sin\big(2\,y(t)\big)\,y''(t)$$

$$= \Big(\frac{1}{\beta^2} - \frac{1}{\alpha^2}\Big) - 2\,\Big(\frac{1}{\alpha^2} + \frac{1}{\beta^2}\Big)\,\cos\big(2\,y(t)\big) - 3\,\Big(\frac{1}{\beta^2} - \frac{1}{\alpha^2}\Big)\,\cos^2\big(2\,y(t)\big)$$

hence we obtain: $\|g''\|_\infty \leqslant 36$, thus the middle point method gives the estimate:

$$\Big|\int_0^{1-h} \cos\big(2\,y(t)\big)\,dt - I_s\Big| \leqslant 36\,\frac{(2\,h)^2}{24} \quad ,$$

and we obtain:

$$\Big|2\,h\sum_{k=1}^{s}\cos\big(\theta_k + \theta_{k+1}\big) - \int_0^{1-h}\cos\big(2\,y(t)\big)\,dt\Big| \leqslant \big(5\,e^2 - 4\big)\,h^2 \quad .$$

But we also have: $\|g'\|_\infty \leqslant 6$, thus:

$$\Big|\int_0^1 \cos\big(2\,y(t)\big)\,dt - \int_0^{1-h}\cos\big(2\,y(t)\big)\,dt - h\,\cos\big(2\,y(1)\big)\Big| \leqslant 3\,h^2$$

hence: $|\,I - S\,| \leqslant \big(5\,e^2 - 1\big)\,h^2$ where:

$$I = \int_0^1 \cos\big(2\,y(t)\big)\,dt \quad \text{and} \quad S = 2\,h\sum_{k=1}^{s}\cos\big(\theta_k + \theta_{k+1}\big) + h\,\cos\big(2\,y(1)\big) \quad ,$$

thus we get: $S = \dfrac{(2\,s+2)\,\beta - 2\,s\,\alpha}{(2\,s+1)\,(\alpha+\beta)} - 2\,\beta\,\sin\theta_1\,\sin\theta_{s+1} + h\,\cos\big(2\,y(1)\big)$ by the norm equation. But we obtained above:

$$\Big|\,\sin\theta_1\,\sin\theta_{s+1} - \Big(\frac{\alpha\,\sin\theta_1\,\cos\theta_1}{\sqrt{\alpha^2\,\cos^2\theta_1 + \beta^2\,\sin\theta_1}} + \frac{\beta\,h\,\sin^2\theta_1}{(\alpha^2\,\cos^2\theta_1 + \beta^2\,\sin\theta_1)}\Big)\Big| \leqslant 4.66\,h^2$$

and $|\,y(1) - \theta_\perp\,| \leqslant \big(5\,e^2 - 3\big)\,h^2$, thus: $|\,h\,\cos\big(2\,y(1)\big) - h\,\cos\big(2\,\theta_\perp\big)\,| \leqslant \big(10\,e^2 - 6\big)\,h^3$, where: $\cos\theta_\perp = \dfrac{-\beta\,\sin\theta_1}{\sqrt{\alpha^2\,\cos^2\theta_1 + \beta^2\,\sin^2\theta_1}}$ and $\sin\theta_\perp = \dfrac{\alpha\,\cos\theta_1}{\sqrt{\alpha^2\,\cos^2\theta_1 + \beta^2\,\sin^2\theta_1}}$,
hence it comes: $|\,S - T\,| \leqslant 4.66\,h^2 + \big(10\,e^2 - 6\big)\,h^3$ where:

$$T = \frac{(2\,s+2)\,\beta - 2\,s\,\alpha}{(2\,s+1)\,(\alpha+\beta)} - \frac{2\,\alpha\,\beta\,\sin\theta_1\,\cos\theta_1}{\sqrt{\alpha^2\,\cos^2\theta_1 + \beta^2\,\sin^2\theta_1}} - \frac{2\,\beta^2\,\sin^2\theta_1}{(2\,s+1)\,(\alpha^2\,\cos^2\theta_1 + \beta^2\,\sin^2\theta_1)}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2\,s+1}\,\frac{\beta^2\,\sin^2\theta_1 - \alpha^2\,\cos^2\theta_1}{\alpha^2\,\cos^2\theta_1 + \beta^2\,\sin^2\theta_1}$$

$$= \frac{(2\,s+2)\,\beta - 2\,s\,\alpha}{(2\,s+1)\,(\alpha+\beta)} - \frac{1}{2\,s+1} - \frac{2\,\alpha\,\beta\,\sin\theta_1\,\cos\theta_1}{\sqrt{\alpha^2\,\cos^2\theta_1 + \beta^2\,\sin^2\theta_1}}$$

$$= \frac{\beta - \alpha}{\alpha+\beta} - \frac{2\,\alpha\,\beta\,\sin\theta_1\,\cos\theta_1}{\sqrt{\alpha^2\,\cos^2\theta_1 + \beta^2\,\sin^2\theta_1}} \quad .$$

We get finally the integral equation for all integers $s \geqslant 15$:

$$\Big|\int_0^1 \cos\big(2\,y(t)\big)\,dt + \frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha+\beta} + \frac{2\,\alpha\,\beta\,\sin\theta_1\,\cos\theta_1}{\sqrt{\alpha^2\,\cos^2\theta_1 + \beta^2\,\sin^2\theta_1}}\Big| \leqslant C_1\,h^2$$

where: $C_1 = 4.66 + \big(10\,e^2 - 6\big)\,\dfrac{1}{31} + 5\,e^2 - 1 \leqslant 42.79$, but f never vanishes, thus:

$$I = \int_0^1 \cos\big(2\,y(t)\big)\,dt = \int_0^1 \cos\big(2\,y(t)\big)\frac{y'(t)}{f\big(y(t)\big)}\,dt = \int_{\theta_1}^{y(1)} \frac{\cos\big(2\,x\big)}{f(x)}\,dx$$

and the integral equation becomes a second boundary condition:

$$\Big|\,\beta \int_{\theta_1}^{y(1)} \frac{\cos\big(2\,x\big)}{\sqrt{1 - \big(1 - \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^2}\big)\,\sin^2 x}}\,dx + \frac{\alpha - \beta}{\alpha + \beta} + \frac{2\,\alpha\,\beta\,\sin\theta_1\,\cos\theta_1}{\sqrt{\alpha^2\,\cos^2\theta_1 + \beta^2\,\sin\theta_1}}\,\Big| \leqslant C_1\,h^2 \quad .$$

9 Numerical study of the asymptotic case

If $s \geqslant 15$ and the sequence $(\theta_k)_{1 \leqslant k \leqslant s+1}$ from section 6 exists (that is, if $\lambda_2^{2s+1} > \lambda_2^{2s+1}$), we set $\theta = \theta_1 \in [\,0\,,\,\pi\,]$ and we proved that the solution $y : [\,0\,,\,1\,] \to \mathbb{R}$ of:

$$y' = f(y) = \frac{1}{\beta}\sqrt{1 - \Big(1 - \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^2}\Big)\,\sin^2 y}$$

such that $y(0) = \theta$ satisfies:

$$|\,CB(\alpha\,,\,\beta\,,\,\theta)\,| \leqslant \frac{33.95}{\big(2\,s+1\big)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad |\,EN(\alpha\,,\,\beta\,,\,\theta)\,| \leqslant \frac{42.79}{\big(2\,s+1\big)^2} \quad ,$$

where:

$$EN(\alpha\,,\,\beta\,,\,\theta) = \beta \int_{\theta}^{y(1)} \frac{\cos\big(2\,x\big)}{\sqrt{1 - \big(1 - \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^2}\big)\,\sin^2 x}}\,dx + \frac{\alpha - \beta}{\alpha + \beta} + \frac{2\,\alpha\,\beta\,\sin\theta\,\cos\theta}{\sqrt{\alpha^2\,\cos^2\theta + \beta^2\,\sin\theta}}$$

and: $CB(\alpha\,,\,\beta\,,\,\theta) = y(1) - \theta_\perp$ where $\theta_\perp = \theta + \dfrac{\pi}{2} - \arctan\Big(\dfrac{(\alpha - \beta)\,\sin\theta\,\cos\theta}{\alpha\,\cos^2\theta + \beta\,\sin^2\theta}\Big)$.
One can easily check numerically that these conditions are incompatible, but in order to turn this fact into a proof in a reasonable computation time we need sharp estimates based on a suitable change of variables. Setting: $\gamma = \dfrac{\beta}{\alpha} \in \Big[\dfrac{1}{3}\,,\,1\Big]$ we obtain:

$$\int_{\theta}^{y(t)} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1 - (1 - \gamma^2)\,\sin^2 x}} = \frac{t}{\beta}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and in the limit case we get: $CB(\alpha\,,\,\beta\,,\,\theta) = 0$, thus:

$$y(1) = \theta + \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan\Big(\frac{(1 - \gamma)\,\sin\theta\,\cos\theta}{\cos^2\theta + \gamma\,\sin^2\theta}\Big) =: \varphi(\gamma\,,\,\theta) \quad ,$$

hence: $1/\beta = B\,(\gamma\,,\,\theta)$ and $\alpha = \beta/\gamma$ where: $B(\gamma\,,\,\theta) = \displaystyle\int_{\theta}^{\varphi(\gamma\,,\,\theta)} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{\cos^2 t + \gamma^2\,\sin^2 t}}$,

thus: $\dfrac{\partial B}{\partial\theta}(\gamma\,,\,\theta) = \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta_\perp + \gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta_\perp}}\,\dfrac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\theta}(\gamma\,,\,\theta) - \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta + \gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}}$ where:

$$\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\theta}(\gamma\,,\,\theta) = \frac{\gamma}{\cos^2\theta + \gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta} \quad \text{and we obtained:} \quad \sin\theta_\perp = \frac{\cos\theta}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta + \gamma^2\,\sin\theta}} \quad ,$$

so we get finally: $\dfrac{\partial B}{\partial \theta}(\gamma\,,\,\theta) = 0$. Therefore, the function B doesn't depend on θ, and if we choose $\theta = 0$ we get: $\theta_\perp = \pi/2$, and we obtain a Jacobi function:

$$B(\gamma\,,\,\theta) = B(\gamma) = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{\cos^2 t + \gamma^2 \sin^2 t}} = InverseJacobiAM\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\,,\,\sqrt{1-\gamma^2}\right) \quad .$$

We will now compute two real numbers $\delta\,,\,\mu > 0$ such that:

$$|CB(\alpha\,,\,\beta\,,\,\theta)| \leqslant \delta \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha+\beta \geqslant \frac{4}{3} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad EN(\alpha\,,\,\beta\,,\,\theta) \geqslant \mu \quad ,$$

which will allow us to conclude for s large enough. Setting: $\nu = CB(\alpha\,,\,\beta\,,\,\theta) \in [-\delta\,,\,\delta\,]$ we get: $y(1) = \varphi(\gamma\,,\,\theta) + \nu$ and $\alpha(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu) = \beta(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu)\,/\,\gamma$ where:

$$\frac{1}{\beta(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu)} = \int_\theta^{\varphi(\gamma\,,\,\theta)+\nu} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{\cos^2 t + \gamma^2 \sin^2 t}} \quad ,$$

let $E(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu) = \dfrac{1-\gamma}{1+\gamma} + \dfrac{\beta(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu)\,\sin(2\,\theta)}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta + \gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}} + \beta(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu)\displaystyle\int_\theta^{\varphi(\gamma\,,\,\theta)+\nu} \frac{\cos(2\,t)\,dt}{\sqrt{\cos^2 t + \gamma^2\,\sin^2 t}}$
and let $\Delta \subset \left[\,\dfrac{1}{3}\,,\,1\,\right] \times [\,0\,,\,\pi\,] \times [\,-\delta\,,\,\delta\,]$ be the compact set defined by:

$$\beta(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu) \leqslant \alpha(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu) \leqslant 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu) + \beta(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu) \geqslant \frac{4}{3} \quad .$$

We will estimate $\mu(\delta) = \min\limits_{(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu)\,\in\,\Delta} E(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu)$ using only the following obvious lemma.

Lemma 1 *Let $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ be a convex compact set, let $F : K \to \mathbb{R}$ be a C^1 function and for all $1 \leqslant k \leqslant p$ let $M_k = \max\limits_{x\,\in\,K} \left|\, \dfrac{\partial F}{\partial x_k}(x) \,\right|$. Let $\mathcal{E} \subset K$ be a finite set and let $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+^p$ such that for all $x \in K$, there exists $y \in \mathcal{E}$ satisfying: $|\,x_k - y_k\,| \leqslant \delta_k$ for each $1 \leqslant k \leqslant p$. Then we have:* $\min\limits_{x\,\in\,K} F(x) \;\geqslant\; \min\limits_{y\,\in\,\mathcal{E}} F(y) \;-\; \sum\limits_{1\,\leqslant\,k\,\leqslant\,p} M_k\,\delta_k$.

Hereafter, the set $\mathcal{E}$ will be called a net with step $2\,\delta_k$ in the k-th variable and the number $\displaystyle\sum_{k=1}^{p} M_k\,\delta_k$ will be called the uncertainty of this approximation of the minimum of F.

Notice that if the set K is not convex, but the function F is C^1 on its convex hull $\widehat{K}$, it suffices to obtain the estimates M_k on $\widehat{K}$, and that the same is true if we get these estimates on a set D such that for each $x \in K$, there exists $y \in \mathcal{E}$ and a sequence $(z_k)_{\,0\,\leqslant\,k\,\leqslant\,p}$ satisfying: $z_0 = x$, $z_p = y$, $z_k - z_{k-1}$ proportional to the k-th vector of the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^p$ (up to permutation) and $[z_{k-1}\,,\,z_k] \subset D$ for each $1 \leqslant k \leqslant p$.

In order to conclude for $s \geqslant 15$ it suffices to prove that: $\mu(0.0354) \geqslant 0.0446$, but if we estimate roughly the derivatives of E the uncertainty will be too big to conclude in a reasonable computation time: the worse case is when γ is small because of all the terms in $1/\gamma^k$, and we will first reduce the range in γ. We have:

$$\frac{1}{\beta(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu)} = \int_\theta^{\varphi(\gamma,\theta)} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{\cos^2 t + \gamma^2\,\sin^2 t}} + \int_{\varphi(\gamma,\theta)}^{\varphi(\gamma,\theta)+\nu} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{\cos^2 t + \gamma^2\,\sin^2 t}}$$

hence : $1/\beta(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu) \leqslant B(\gamma) + \delta/\gamma$, thus the condition: $\alpha = \beta/\gamma \leqslant 1$ implies: $\gamma\,B(\,\gamma) - 1 \geqslant -\delta$ where $\delta = 0.0354$. We get easily:

$$-\frac{\pi}{2\,\gamma^2} \leqslant B'(\gamma) \leqslant 0 \leqslant B'(\gamma) \leqslant \frac{\pi}{2\,\gamma} \quad \text{hence} \quad \Big|\,\gamma\,B'(\gamma) + B(\gamma)\,\Big| \leqslant \frac{\pi}{2\,\gamma} < 5$$

for all $\gamma \in [\,1/3\,,\,1\,]$, thus the Maple procedure:

```
n:=500; MM:=-1000:
for igammaa from 0 to n do gammaa:=evalf(1/3+(0.414-1/3)*igammaa/n):
h:=gammaa*InverseJacobiAM(Pi/2,sqrt(1-gammaa^2))-1:
if h>MM then MM:=h: fi: od:  M:=evalf(MM + 5*(0.414-1/3)/(2*n));
```

answers: $\max\big\{\gamma\,B(\gamma) - 1\,,\,1/3 \leqslant \gamma \leqslant 0.414\big\} < -0.0355 < -\delta$, hence we can assume that: $0.414 \leqslant \gamma \leqslant 1$. In order to estimate the minimum of the function E, we have to estimate its partial derivatives, which will be done in section 11 by lengthy computations and numerical studies: we will obtain the estimates:

$$\Big|\,\frac{\partial E}{\partial \nu}(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu)\,\Big| \leqslant 2.48 \quad , \quad \Big|\,\frac{\partial E}{\partial \theta}(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu)\,\Big| \leqslant 4.41 \quad \text{and} \quad \Big|\,\frac{\partial E}{\partial \gamma}(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu)\,\Big| \leqslant 4.33$$

for all $(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu) \in D = [\,0.414\,,\,1\,] \times [\,0\,,\,\pi\,] \times [\,-0.0354\,,\,0.0354\,]$. The Maple procedure:

```
delta:=0.0354;
coefferr:=evalf(2.48*delta/2+4.41*Pi/(2*79)+4.33*0.586/(2*64));
n:=6; err:= evalf(coefferr/n);  mm:=1000:
for igamma from 0 to 64*n do gammaa:=evalf(0.414+0.586*igamma/(64*n)):
for itheta from 0 to 158*n-1 do theta:=evalf(Pi*itheta/(158*n)):
thetaperp:=evalf(theta+Pi/2-arctan((1-gammaa)*sin(theta)*cos(theta)
/(1-(1-gammaa)*(sin(theta))^2))):
for inu from -n to n do y1:=evalf(thetaperp+delta*inu/n):
beta:=evalf(1/int(1/sqrt(1-(1-gammaa^2)*(sin(t))^2), t=theta..y1)):
alpha:=beta/gammaa:
if alpha<=1 then if beta<=alpha then if alpha+beta>=4/3 then
E:=evalf((1-gammaa)/(1+gammaa)+beta*(
sin(2*theta)/sqrt((1-(1-gammaa^2)*(sin(theta))^2))
+int(cos(2*t)/sqrt(1-(1-gammaa^2)*(sin(t))^2),t=theta..y1))):
if E < mm then mm:=E: fi:fi:fi:fi:od:od:od: mm; mu:=evalf(mm-coefferr/n);
```

realizes an uncertainty of $0.1514/n$ on the minimum $\mu(\delta)$ of the function E on the domain $\Delta \subset D$ where D is convex. It answers in 60 minutes: $\mu(0.0354) > 0.0484 > 0.0446$, and we can conclude that: $\lambda_2^{2s+1} = \lambda_2^{2s-1}$ for all $s \geqslant 15$.

10 Iteration in the initial cases

To complete the proof, it remains to deal with the cases where $2 \leqslant s \leqslant 14$, and to keep the computation time reasonable we will have to implement the equations in C after simplifying them. First of all, we rewrite the induction relation as obtained in section 5:

$$\alpha^2\,\cos^2\Big(\,\frac{\theta_k + \theta_{k+1}}{2}\,\Big) + \beta^2\,\sin^2\Big(\,\frac{\theta_k + \theta_{k+1}}{2}\,\Big) = (2s+1)^2\,\alpha^2\,\beta^2\,\sin^2\Big(\,\frac{\theta_k - \theta_{k+1}}{2}\,\Big)$$

to get: $\alpha^2\left(1+\cos(\theta_k+\theta_{k+1})\right)+\beta^2\left(1-\cos(\theta_k+\theta_{k+1})\right) = (2s+1)^2\,\alpha^2\,\beta^2\left(1-\cos(\theta_k-\theta_{k+1})\right)$
hence: $\left((2s+1)^2\,\alpha^2\,\beta^2-\alpha^2+\beta^2\right)\sin\theta_k\,\sin\theta_{k+1}+\left((2s+1)^2\,\alpha^2\,\beta^2+\alpha^2-\beta^2\right)\cos\theta_k\,\cos\theta_{k+1}$

$$= \quad (2s+1)^2\,\alpha^2\,\beta^2-\alpha^2-\beta^2 \quad ,$$

that is: $\left(1-2\,h^2\,v\right)\sin\theta_k\,\sin\theta_{k+1}+\left(1+2\,h^2\,v\right)\cos\theta_k\,\cos\theta_{k+1}=1-2\,h^2\,u$ where:

$$h=\frac{1}{2\,s+1} \quad , \quad u=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\beta^2}+\frac{1}{\alpha^2}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad v=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\beta^2}-\frac{1}{\alpha^2}\right) \quad .$$

This change of variables writes: $\alpha(u\,,\,v)=\dfrac{1}{\sqrt{u-v}}$ and $\beta(u\,,\,v)=\dfrac{1}{\sqrt{u+v}}$, where $(u\,,\,v)$ fulfill the inequalities: $1\leqslant u\leqslant 5$, $0\leqslant v\leqslant u-1\leqslant 4$ and if $u\geqslant\dfrac{9}{4}$:

$$v\geqslant\sqrt{\frac{128\,u^2-144\,u-81-27\sqrt{9+32\,u}}{128}} \quad ,$$

and $D\subset\mathbb{R}^2$ will hereafter denote the domain so defined. If we set moreover:

$$A=1+2\,h^2\,v \quad , \quad B=1-2\,h^2\,v \quad \text{and} \quad C=1-2\,h^2\,u \quad ,$$

then for all $1\leqslant k\leqslant s$ we have: $A\,\cos\theta_k\,\cos\theta_{k+1}+B\,\sin\theta_k\,\sin\theta_{k+1}=C$ with the boundary condition: $\alpha\,\cos\theta_1\,\cos\theta_{s+1}+\beta\,\sin\theta_1\,\sin\theta_{s+1}=\dfrac{1}{2s+1}$ and the norm equation:

$$(2s+1)\,\beta\,\sin\theta_1\,\sin\theta_{s+1}+\sum_{k=1}^{s}\left(\cos\theta_k\,\cos\theta_{k+1}-\sin\theta_k\,\sin\theta_{k+1}\right)=\frac{(s+1)\,\beta-s\,\alpha}{\alpha+\beta} \quad .$$

Setting: $x_k=A\,\cos\theta_k$ and $y_k=B\,\sin\theta_k$ we get: $B^2\,x_k^2+A^2\,y_k^2=A^2\,B^2$ and:

$$B\,x_k\,x_{k+1}+A\,y_k\,y_{k+1}=A\,B\,C$$

for all $1\leqslant k\leqslant s$, and after a few computations we get for all $1\leqslant k\leqslant s$:

$$x_{k+1}=A\,\frac{C\,x_k-y_k\sqrt{x_k^2+y_k^2-C^2}}{x_k^2+y_k^2} \quad \text{and} \quad y_{k+1}=B\,\frac{C\,y_k+x_k\sqrt{x_k^2+y_k^2-C^2}}{x_k^2+y_k^2} \quad .$$

The boundary condition becomes:

$$cb(u\,,\,v\,,\,\theta_1)=\frac{\alpha}{A}\,\cos\theta_1\,x_{s+1}+\frac{\beta}{B}\,\sin\theta_1\,y_{s+1}-\frac{1}{2\,s+1}=0$$

and the norm equation becomes:

$$en(u\,,\,v\,,\,\theta_1)=\frac{s\,\alpha-(s+1)\,\beta}{(\alpha+\beta)\,(2s+1)}+\frac{1}{2\,s+1}\sum_{k=1}^{s}\left(\frac{x_k\,x_{k+1}}{A^2}-\frac{y_k\,y_{k+1}}{B^2}\right)+\beta\,\frac{\sin\theta_1\,y_{s+1}}{B}=0 \quad ,$$

and we will now prove numerically that the function $m=\min(|\,cb\,|\,,\,|\,en\,|)$ is nonzero, which will complete the proof. The estimates of the derivatives of these iterate functions of 3 variables will take the remaining of this paper: the uncertainty on m is at most the maximum of uncertainties on cb and en and the relevant domain $K=D\times[0\,,\,\pi]$ is not convex because the function

$$u\mapsto\sqrt{\frac{128\,u^2-144\,u-81-27\sqrt{9+32\,u}}{128}}$$

is concave. However, going from $(u_0\,,\,v_0)$ to $(u_1\,,\,v_1)$ by the segment $(u_0\,,\,v_0) \to (u_0\,,\,v_1)$ then by the segment: $(u_0\,,\,v_1) \to (u_1\,,\,v_1)$ we stay in D, hence it suffices to estimate these derivatives on K, and on this aim to estimate their second derivatives on K. If $4 \leqslant s \leqslant 14$ we will obtain in section 12:

$$\left|\frac{\partial cb}{\partial \theta}\right| \leqslant 16.5 \quad , \quad \left|\frac{\partial cb}{\partial u}\right| \leqslant 3.5 \quad \text{and} \quad \left|\frac{\partial cb}{\partial v}\right| \leqslant 3.6$$

$$\left|\frac{\partial en}{\partial \theta}\right| \leqslant 24.1 \quad , \quad \left|\frac{\partial en}{\partial u}\right| \leqslant 5.15 \quad \text{and} \quad \left|\frac{\partial en}{\partial v}\right| \leqslant 6.25 \quad ,$$

if $s = 3$, we will get:

$$\left|\frac{\partial cb}{\partial \theta}\right| \leqslant 22.2 \quad , \quad \left|\frac{\partial cb}{\partial u}\right| \leqslant 4.35 \quad \text{and} \quad \left|\frac{\partial cb}{\partial v}\right| \leqslant 4.4$$

$$\left|\frac{\partial en}{\partial \theta}\right| \leqslant 32.9 \quad , \quad \left|\frac{\partial en}{\partial u}\right| \leqslant 6.46 \quad \text{and} \quad \left|\frac{\partial en}{\partial v}\right| \leqslant 6.9 \quad ,$$

and the worst case will be $s = 2$, where the minimum of m is the smallest hence we need sharper estimates, and we will obtain steadily:

$$\left|\frac{\partial cb}{\partial \theta}\right| \leqslant 7.56 \quad , \quad \left|\frac{\partial cb}{\partial u}\right| \leqslant 1.39 \quad \text{and} \quad \left|\frac{\partial cb}{\partial v}\right| \leqslant 1.39$$

$$\left|\frac{\partial en}{\partial \theta}\right| \leqslant 10.09 \quad , \quad \left|\frac{\partial en}{\partial u}\right| \leqslant 2.22 \quad \text{and} \quad \left|\frac{\partial en}{\partial v}\right| \leqslant 2.81 \quad .$$

This procedure in C estimates the minimum of m with a step of $1/(4\,n)$:

```
#include <math.h>    #include <stdio.h>
int main (void)
{ int s; int n; double m; double dm; double h; int iu; double u; double vmin;
  int nv; int iv; double v; double A; double B; double C; double a;
  double b; int iz; double z;  double x; double y; double xx; double yy;
  double en; double cb; int k; double mm; m = 0.1001e3;
n = 121;
for (s = 4; s <= 14 ; s++)
{h = (double)(0.1e1 / (double) (2 * s + 1));
  for (iu = 0; iu <= 5 * n; iu++)
  { u = (double)(0.1e1 + (double) (iu / (double) n) / (double) 0.4e1);
    C = 0.1e1 + (-0.2e1) * h * h * u;
  for (iv = 0; iv <= iu; iv++)
  { v = (double)((double) (iv / (double) n) / (double) 0.4e1);
    A = 0.1e1 + 0.2e1 * h * h * v; B = 0.1e1 + (-0.2e1) * h * h * v;
    a = (double)(0.1e1 / (double) sqrt(u - v));
    b = (double)(0.1e1 / (double) sqrt(u + v));
  for (iz = 0; iz <= 13 * n - 1; iz++)
  { z = (double)(0.3141592654e1 * (double) iz /
        (double) n / (double) 0.13e2);
    x = (double)(A * cos(z)); y = (double)(B * sin(z));
    en = (double)(((double) s * a - (double) (s + 1) * b) /
         (double) (2 * s + 1) / (double) (a + b));
  for (k = 1; k <= s; k++)
  { xx = (double) (A*(C*x-y*sqrt(x*x+y*y + (-0.1e1) * C * C))
```

```
        / (double) (x * x + y * y));
    yy = (double) (B*(C*y+x*sqrt(x*x+y*y + (-0.1e1) * C * C))
        / (double) (x * x + y * y));
    en = en + (double)((x * xx / (double) A / (double) A +
        (-0.1e1) * y * yy / (double) B / (double) B) /
        (double) (2 * s + 1));
    x = xx; y = yy; }
    en = (double)(en + b * sin(z) * y / (double) B);
    cb = (double)(a * cos(z) * x / (double) A +
         b * sin(z) * y / (double) B - 0.1e1 / (double) (2 * s + 1));
    mm = (fabs(cb) >= fabs(en) ? fabs(cb) : fabs(en));
    if (mm < m) m = mm; } } }
  for (iu = 5 * n + 1; iu <= 16 * n; iu++)
  { u = (double)(0.1e1 + (double) (iu / (double) n) / (double) 0.4e1);
    C = 0.1e1 + (-0.2e1) * h * h * u;
    vmin = (double)(sqrt(0.1e1 * u * u - 0.9e1 / (double) 0.8e1 * u
          - 0.81e2 / (double) 0.128e3 - 0.27e2 / 0.128e3 *
          sqrt(0.9e1 + 0.32e2 * u)));
    nv = (double)(ceil(0.4e1 * (double) n * (u - 0.1e1 - vmin)) + 0.1e1);
  for (iv = 0; iv <= (int) nv; iv++)
  { v = (double)(vmin + (double) iv * (u - 0.1e1 - vmin) / (double) nv);
    A = 0.1e1 + 0.2e1 * h * h * v; B = 0.1e1 + (-0.2e1) * h * h * v;
    a = (double)(0.1e1 / (double) sqrt(u - v));
    b = (double)(0.1e1 / (double) sqrt(u + v));
  for (iz = 0; iz <= 13 * n - 1; iz++)
   { z = (double)(0.3141592654e1 * (double) iz /
       (double) n / (double) 0.13e2);
    x = (double)(A * cos(z)); y = (double)(B * sin(z));
    en = (double)(((double) s * a - (double) (s + 1) * b) /
        (double) (2 * s + 1) / (double) (a + b));
  for (k = 1; k <= s; k++)
  { xx = (double) (A*(C*x-y*sqrt(x*x+y*y + (-0.1e1) * C * C))
        / (double) (x * x + y * y));
    yy = (double) (B*(C*y+x*sqrt(x*x+y*y + (-0.1e1) * C * C))
        / (double) (x * x + y * y));
    en = en + (double)((x * xx / (double) A / (double) A +
        (-0.1e1) * y * yy / (double) B / (double) B) /
        (double) (2 * s + 1));
    x = xx; y = yy; }
    en = (double)(en + b * sin(z) * y / (double) B);
    cb = (double)(a * cos(z) * x / (double) A +
         b * sin(z) * y / (double) B - 0.1e1 / (double) (2 * s + 1));
    mm = (fabs(cb) >= fabs(en) ? fabs(cb) : fabs(en));
    if (mm < m) m = mm; } } }
}
  printf("m = %.10f\n", m);
  cb = (double)( (0.165e2) / (double) (0.8e1) +(0.35e1) /(double) (0.8e1)
  +(double) (0.36e1) *(0.3141592654e1)/ (double) (0.26e2) )/ (double) n;
  en = (double)( (0.241e2)/ (double) (0.8e1) +(0.515e1) /(double) (0.8e1)
  +(double)  (0.625e1)*(0.3141592654e1)/ (double) 0.26e2)/ (double) n;
```

```
  dm  = (fabs(cb) >= fabs(en) ? fabs(cb) : fabs(en));
  printf("dm = %.10f\n", dm);  printf("m-dm = %.10f\n", m-dm);
return(0);}
```

as well as the uncertainty δm : for $4 \leqslant s \leqslant 14$ it requires $n = 121$ to answer in 120 minutes: $\min m = 0.0367... > 0.0365... = \delta m$ thus $\min m > 0$,

for $s = 3$ it needs $n = 200$ and answers

and for $s = 2$ it requires $n = 100$ and answers

11 Estimates on the partial derivatives of E

On the convex domain $[\,0.414\,,\,1\,] \times [\,0\,,\,\pi\,] \times [\,-\delta\,,\,\delta\,]$ where $\delta = 0.0354$ we have:

$$E(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu) = \frac{1-\gamma}{1+\gamma} + \beta(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu)\,\Big(\,\frac{\sin(2\,\theta)}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta + \gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}} + \int_\theta^{y(1)} \frac{\cos(2\,t)\,dt}{\sqrt{\cos^2 t + \gamma^2\,\sin^2 t}}\,\Big)$$

where: $y(1) = \theta_\perp + \nu$, $\theta_\perp \,=\, \theta + \dfrac{\pi}{2} - \arctan\Big(\,\dfrac{(1-\gamma)\,\sin\theta\,\cos\theta}{\cos^2\theta + \gamma\,\sin^2\theta}\,\Big)$ and:

$$\frac{1}{\beta(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu)} \,=\, \int_\theta^{y(1)} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1-\big(1-\gamma^2\big)\,\sin^2 t}} \,=\, B(\gamma) + \int_{\varphi(\gamma,\theta)}^{\varphi(\gamma,\theta)+\nu} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{\cos^2 t + \gamma^2\,\sin^2 t}} \quad ,$$

thus: $\theta \leqslant \theta_\perp \leqslant \theta + \pi$. In order to estimate the partial derivatives of E, the most efficient way is a numerical study of their approximate expressions of 2 variables, where we get rid of the small variable ν. First we get, since the function B decreases:

$$1.485 \leqslant B(1) - \frac{\delta}{\gamma} \leqslant B(\gamma) - \frac{\delta}{\gamma} \leqslant \frac{1}{\beta(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu)} \leqslant B(\gamma) + \frac{\delta}{\gamma} \leqslant B(0.414) + \frac{\delta}{\gamma} \leqslant 2.414$$

hence: $0.4143 \leqslant \beta_{min} \leqslant \beta \leqslant \beta_{max} \leqslant 0.6733$, and moreover:

$$\Big|\,\beta(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu) - \frac{1}{B(\gamma)}\,\Big| \,\leqslant\, \frac{\delta}{B(\gamma)\,\big(\gamma\,B(\gamma) - \delta\big)} \,=\, \frac{\delta}{D(\gamma)}$$

and we obtain: $|\,D'(\gamma)\,| \leqslant \pi/(2\,\gamma^2) \leqslant 29$, thus the Maple procedure:

```
n:=50000: mm:=100:
for igamma from 0 to n do gammaa:=evalf(0.414+0.586*igamma/n):
B:=InverseJacobiAM(Pi/2,sqrt(1-gammaa^2)):h:=B*(gammaa*B-0.0354):
if h<=mm then mm:=h: fi: od:  m:=evalf(mm-29*0.586/(2*n));
dbeta:=0.0354/m; betamax:=evalf(2/Pi+dbeta);
```

shows that we have: $D(\gamma) > 2.16$, hence for all $(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu) \in D$:

$$\Big|\,\beta(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu) - \widetilde{\beta}(\gamma)\,\Big| \,\leqslant\, \Delta_\beta \,=\, 0.0164 \quad \text{where:} \quad \widetilde{\beta}(\gamma) = \frac{1}{B(\gamma)} \quad ,$$

and since the function B decreases, we infer:

$$0.4143 \,\leqslant\, \beta(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu) \,\leqslant\, \widetilde{\beta}(1) + \Delta_\beta \,=\, \beta_{max} \,\leqslant\, 0.6531 \quad .$$

In what follows, we will repeatedly have to estimate the maximum on $[\,0.414\,,\,1\,]\times[\,0\,,\,\pi\,]$ of functions similar to:

$$f_0\,:\,(\gamma\,,\,\theta)\mapsto\Big|\,\frac{\sin\big(2\,\theta\big)}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}}\,\Big|\,\leqslant\,\frac{1}{\gamma}\,\leqslant\,2.42\quad,$$

but this is a too rough estimate for us, and the study of $f_0(\gamma\,,\,\cdot)$ shows that this maximum is indeed:

$$M_0\,=\,\max_{0.414\leqslant\gamma\leqslant 1}\Big(\frac{2}{1+\gamma}\Big)\,\leqslant\,1.4145\quad,$$

but to spare us this lengthy study we first remark that the maximum in θ decreases with γ hence its maximum (in γ) is attained for $\gamma=0.414$, that we easily obtain:

$$\Big|\,\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial\theta}\,(\gamma\,,\,\theta)\,\Big|\,\leqslant\,\frac{2}{\gamma}+\frac{1}{2\,\gamma^3}\quad,$$

hence the Maple procedure which will be thereafter denoted by $\star_{Maple}$:

```
gammaa:=0.414: derf0:=2/gammaa+1/(2*gammaa^3): n:=500000: MM0:=-1000:
for itheta from 0 to n do theta:=evalf(Pi*itheta/(n)):
f0:=evalf(abs(sin(2*theta))/(sqrt(1-(1-gammaa^2)*(sin(theta))^2))):
if f0>MM0 then MM0:=f0: fi: od:  M0:=evalf(MM0+derf0*Pi/(2*n));
```

shows that $M_0\,\leqslant\,1.4145$. In order to simplify the notations, we will hereafter write:

$$F(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu)\,=\,G(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu)\,+(\leqslant)\,H(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu)$$

instead of: $\,|\,F(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu)-G(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu)\,|\leqslant|\,H(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu)\,|\,$ for all $\,(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu)\,\in\,D$, including the case where $G=0$.

First of all, we have: $\,y(1)\,=\,\theta_\perp\,+(\leqslant)\,\delta\,$ and:

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial\nu}=\frac{\partial\beta}{\partial\nu}\Big(\frac{\sin(2\,\theta)}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}}+\int_\theta^{y(1)}\frac{\cos(2\,t)\,dt}{\sqrt{\cos^2 t+\gamma^2\,\sin^2 t}}\Big)+\frac{\beta\,\cos(2\,y(1))}{\sqrt{\cos^2 y(1)+\gamma^2\,\sin^2 y(1)}}$$

where: $\,\dfrac{\partial\beta}{\partial\nu}=\dfrac{-\beta^2}{\sqrt{1-(1-\gamma^2)\,\sin^2 y(1)}}=\dfrac{-\beta^2}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta_\perp+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta_\perp}}+\leqslant\Big(\,\beta^2\,(1-\gamma^2)\,M_1\,\delta\,\Big)$

and M_1 is the maximum of $\,f_1\,:\,(\gamma\,,\,\theta)\mapsto\dfrac{|\sin\big(2\,\theta\big)\,|}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}^{\,3}}\,$, hence the above procedure $\star_{Maple}$ shows that $M_1\,\leqslant\,2.3471$, thus:

$$\Big|\,\frac{\partial\beta}{\partial\nu}-\frac{-\beta^2}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta_\perp+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta_\perp}}\,\Big|\,\leqslant\,\Delta\beta_\nu\,=\,0.02945\quad.$$

We also have: $\,\dfrac{\cos(2\,y(1))}{\sqrt{\cos^2 y(1)+\gamma^2\,\sin^2 y(1)}}\,=\,\dfrac{\cos(2\,\theta_\perp)}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta_\perp+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta_\perp}}+\,\leqslant\,\big(\,8\,M_2\,\delta\,\big)\quad,$

where M_2 is the maximum of $\,f_2\,:\,(\gamma\,,\,\theta)\mapsto\dfrac{\sin\theta\,\cos^3\theta}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}^{\,3}}\,$, and we obtain: $\|f_2'\|_\infty\,\leqslant\,250$, hence the Maple procedure $\star_{Maple}$ shows that $\,M_2\,\leqslant\,0.56\,$ and:

$$\Big|\,\frac{\cos(2\,y(1))}{\sqrt{\cos^2 y(1)+\gamma^2\,\sin^2 y(1)}}-\frac{\cos(2\,\theta_\perp)}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta_\perp+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta_\perp}}\,\Big|\,\leqslant\,\Delta_{y(1)}\,=\,0.1649\quad.$$

We also have: $\Big|\,\dfrac{\partial\beta}{\partial\nu}\,\Big| \leqslant \dfrac{\beta^2}{\gamma} = M_{\beta_\nu} \leqslant 1.03$, thus if we set:

$$\overline{E_\nu} = \frac{-\beta^2}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta_\perp + \gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta_\perp}}\Big(\frac{\sin(2\,\theta)}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}} + \int_\theta^{\theta_\perp}\frac{\cos(2\,t)\,dt}{\sqrt{\cos^2 t+\gamma^2\,\sin^2 t}}\Big) + \frac{\beta\,\cos(2\,\theta_\perp)}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta_\perp+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta_\perp}}$$

we get: $\Big|\,\dfrac{\partial E}{\partial\nu} - \overline{E_\nu}\,\Big| \leqslant M_{\beta_\nu}\,\dfrac{\delta}{\gamma} + \Delta_\beta\,\dfrac{1+\pi}{\gamma} + \beta\,\Delta_{\,y(1)} \leqslant 0.4904$, then if we set:

$$\widetilde{E_\nu}(\gamma\,,\,\theta) = \frac{-\big(\,\widetilde{\beta}(\gamma)\,\big)^2}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta_\perp + \gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta_\perp}}\Big(\frac{\sin(2\,\theta)}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}} + \int_\theta^{\theta_\perp}\frac{\cos(2\,t)\,dt}{\sqrt{\cos^2 t+\gamma^2\,\sin^2 t}}\Big) + \frac{\widetilde{\beta}(\gamma)\,\cos(2\,\theta_\perp)}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta_\perp+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta_\perp}}$$

it comes: $\Big|\,\overline{E_\nu} - \widetilde{E_\nu}\,\Big| \leqslant \dfrac{2\,\beta_{max}\,\Delta_\beta}{\gamma}\,\dfrac{1+\pi}{\gamma} + \dfrac{\Delta_\beta}{\gamma} \leqslant 0.5572$, and finally:

$$\Big|\,\frac{\partial E}{\partial\nu}(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu) - \widetilde{E_\nu}(\gamma\,,\,\theta)\,\Big| \leqslant 1.048\,.$$

Similarly, we get: $\dfrac{\partial E}{\partial\theta} = \dfrac{\partial\beta}{\partial\theta}\Big(\,\dfrac{\sin(2\,\theta)}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}} + \displaystyle\int_\theta^{y(1)}\frac{\cos(2\,t)\,dt}{\sqrt{\cos^2 t+\gamma^2\,\sin^2 t}}\,\Big)$

$$+\frac{\beta\,(1-\gamma^2)\,\sin^2(2\,\theta)}{2\,\sqrt{\cos^2\theta+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}^{\,3}} + \frac{\beta\,\cos(2\,y(1))}{\sqrt{\cos^2 y(1)+\gamma^2\,\sin^2 y(1)}}\,\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\theta} - \frac{\beta\,\cos(2\,\theta)}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}}\quad,$$

where: $\dfrac{1}{\beta(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu)} = B(\gamma) + \displaystyle\int_{\varphi(\gamma,\theta)}^{\varphi(\gamma,\theta)+\nu} f_3(t)\,dt$ and $f_3(t) = \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{\cos^2 t+\gamma^2\,\sin^2 t}}$, hence:

$$\frac{-1}{\beta^2}\,\frac{\partial\beta}{\partial\theta} = \big(f_3(\theta_\perp+\nu) - f_3(\theta_\perp)\big)\,\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\theta} = \nu\,f_3'(\theta_\perp + s\,\nu)\,\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\theta} = (\leqslant)\,\Big(\,(1-\gamma^2)\,M_1\,\delta\,\Big)\,\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\theta}$$

where $0 < s < 1$ since $f_3' = (1-\gamma^2)\,f_1$, and: $\dfrac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\theta} = \dfrac{\gamma}{\cos^2\theta+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta} = (\leqslant)\,\Big(\dfrac{1}{\gamma}\Big)$,
thus if we set:

$$\overline{E_\theta} = \frac{\beta\,(1-\gamma^2)\,\sin^2(2\,\theta)}{2\,\sqrt{\cos^2\theta+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}^{\,3}} + \frac{\beta\,\cos(2\,\theta_\perp)}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta_\perp+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta_\perp}}\,\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\theta} - \frac{\beta\,\cos(2\,\theta)}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}}$$

it comes: $\Big|\,\dfrac{\partial E}{\partial\theta} - \overline{E_\theta}\,\Big| \leqslant \dfrac{(1+\pi+\delta)\,\beta_{max}^2\,(1-\gamma^2)\,M_1\,\delta}{\gamma^2} + \dfrac{\beta_{max}\,\Delta_{y(1)}}{\gamma} < 1.3437$, hence if:

$$\widetilde{E_\theta} = \frac{\widetilde{\beta}\,(1-\gamma^2)\,\sin^2(2\,\theta)}{2\,\sqrt{\cos^2\theta+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}^{\,3}} + \frac{\widetilde{\beta}\,\cos(2\,\theta_\perp)}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta_\perp+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta_\perp}}\,\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\theta} - \frac{\widetilde{\beta}\,\cos(2\,\theta)}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}}$$

we get: $\Big|\,\overline{E_\theta} - \widetilde{E_\theta}\,\Big| \leqslant \Big(\dfrac{(1-\gamma^2)}{2\,\gamma^3} + \dfrac{1}{\gamma^2} + \dfrac{1}{\gamma}\Big)\,\Delta_\beta < 0.1919$, and finally:

$$\Big|\,\frac{\partial E}{\partial\theta}(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu) - \widetilde{E_\theta}(\gamma\,,\,\theta)\,\Big| \leqslant 1.5356\quad.$$

We also have: $\dfrac{\partial E}{\partial \gamma} = \dfrac{\partial \beta}{\partial \gamma}\Big(\dfrac{\sin(2\,\theta)}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}} + \displaystyle\int_\theta^{y(1)} \dfrac{\cos(2\,t)\,dt}{\sqrt{\cos^2 t+\gamma^2\,\sin^2 t}}\Big) - \dfrac{2}{\big(1+\gamma\big)^2}$

$$-\gamma\,\beta\Big(\frac{\sin(2\,\theta)\,\sin^2\theta}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}^{\,3}} + \int_\theta^{y(1)} \frac{\cos(2\,t)\,\sin^2 t\,dt}{\sqrt{\cos^2 t+\gamma^2\,\sin^2 t}^{\,3}}\Big) + \frac{\beta\,\cos\big(2\,y(1)\big)}{\sqrt{\cos^2 y(1)+\gamma^2\,\sin^2 y(1)}}\,\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\gamma}$$

where: $\dfrac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\gamma} = \dfrac{\sin\theta\cos\theta}{\cos^2\theta+\gamma^2\sin^2\theta}$ thus: $\Big|\dfrac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\gamma}\Big| \leqslant \dfrac{1}{2\,\gamma} \leqslant 1.2078$, and as before:

$$-\frac{1}{\beta^2}\,\frac{\partial\beta}{\partial\gamma} = B'(\gamma)+\nu\,f'_3(\theta_\perp+s\,\nu)\,\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\gamma} = B'(\gamma)+(\leqslant)\,\frac{(1-\gamma^2)\,M_1\,\delta}{2\,\gamma} = B'(\gamma)+(\leqslant)\,0.08315$$

where $0<s<1$. But for all $\gamma\in[\,0.414\,,\,1\,]$ we have:

$$B'(\gamma) = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\gamma\,\sin^2 t\;dt}{\sqrt{\cos^2 t+\gamma^2\,\sin^2 t}^{\,3}} = \gamma\,F(\gamma)$$

where F decreases with γ, hence: $|\,B'(\gamma)\,|\leqslant F(0.414)\,\gamma \leqslant 5.3502$, thus:

$$\Big|\frac{\partial\beta}{\partial\gamma}\Big| \leqslant 5.4334\,\beta_{max}^2 = M_{\beta_\gamma} \leqslant 2.317 \quad .$$

If we set: $\overline{E_\gamma} = \dfrac{\partial\beta}{\partial\gamma}\Big(\dfrac{\sin(2\,\theta)}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}} + \displaystyle\int_\theta^{\theta_\perp} \dfrac{\cos(2\,t)\,dt}{\sqrt{\cos^2 t+\gamma^2\,\sin^2 t}}\Big) - \dfrac{2}{\big(1+\gamma\big)^2}$

$$-\gamma\,\beta\Big(\frac{\sin(2\,\theta)\,\sin^2\theta}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}^{\,3}} + \int_\theta^{\theta_\perp} \frac{\cos(2\,t)\,\sin^2 t\,dt}{\sqrt{\cos^2 t+\gamma^2\,\sin^2 t}^{\,3}}\Big) + \frac{\beta\,\cos\big(2\,\theta_\perp\big)}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta_\perp+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta_\perp}}\,\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\gamma}$$

we infer: $\Big|\dfrac{\partial E}{\partial\gamma} - \overline{E_\gamma}\Big| \leqslant M_{\beta_\gamma}\,\dfrac{\delta}{\gamma} + \dfrac{\beta_{max}\,\delta}{\gamma^2} + \dfrac{\beta_{max}\,\Delta_{y(1)}}{2\,\gamma} \leqslant 0.3048$. We have moreover:

$$\frac{\partial\beta}{\partial\gamma} = -\Big(B'(\gamma)+(\leqslant)\,\frac{(1-\gamma^2)\,M_1\,\delta}{2\,\gamma}\Big)\times\Big(\frac{1}{B(\gamma)}+(\leqslant)\,\Delta_\beta\Big)^2$$

hence:

$$\frac{\partial\beta}{\partial\gamma} = \widetilde{\beta}\,'(\gamma)+(\leqslant)\,\frac{(1-\gamma^2)\,M_1\,\delta\,\beta_{max}^2}{2\,\gamma} + 5.4334\cdot 2\,\beta_{max}\,\Delta_\beta = \widetilde{\beta}\,'(\gamma)+(\leqslant)\,\Delta_{\beta_\gamma}$$

where $\Delta_{\beta_\gamma}\leqslant 0.1519$, thus if we set:

$$\widetilde{E_\gamma}(\gamma\,,\,\theta) = \widetilde{\beta}\,'(\gamma)\Big(\frac{\sin(2\,\theta)}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}} + \int_\theta^{\theta_\perp} \frac{\cos(2\,t)\,dt}{\sqrt{\cos^2 t+\gamma^2\,\sin^2 t}}\Big) - \frac{2}{\big(1+\gamma\big)^2}$$

$$-\gamma\,\widetilde{\beta}\Big(\frac{\sin(2\,\theta)\,\sin^2\theta}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}^{\,3}} + \int_\theta^{\theta_\perp} \frac{\cos(2\,t)\,\sin^2 t\,dt}{\sqrt{\cos^2 t+\gamma^2\,\sin^2 t}^{\,3}}\Big) + \frac{\widetilde{\beta}\,\cos\big(2\,\theta_\perp\big)}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta_\perp+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta_\perp}}\,\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\gamma}$$

we get: $\Big|\overline{E_\gamma} - \widetilde{E_\gamma}\Big| \leqslant \Delta_{\beta_\gamma}\,\dfrac{1+\pi}{\gamma} + \Delta_\beta\Big(\dfrac{1+\pi}{\gamma^2}+\dfrac{1}{2\,\gamma^2}\Big) \leqslant 1.9631$ and finally:

$$\Big|\frac{\partial E}{\partial\gamma}(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu) - \widetilde{E_\gamma}(\gamma\,,\,\theta)\Big| \leqslant 2.2678 \quad .$$

Now we estimate the partial derivatives of these 3 functions of 2 variables, starting by:

$$\widetilde{E_\nu}(\gamma\,,\,\theta) = \frac{-\big(\,\widetilde{\beta}(\gamma)\,\big)^2}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta_\perp + \gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta_\perp}}\Big(\frac{\sin(2\,\theta)}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta + \gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}} + \int_\theta^{\theta_\perp}\frac{\cos(2\,t)\,dt}{\sqrt{\cos^2 t + \gamma^2\,\sin^2 t}}\Big)$$

$$+\quad \frac{\widetilde{\beta}(\gamma)\,\cos(2\,\theta_\perp)}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta_\perp + \gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta_\perp}}$$

where $\widetilde{\beta}(\gamma) = 1/B(\gamma) \leqslant 2/\pi$ and $\theta_\perp = \varphi(\gamma\,,\,\theta)$, and we obtained:

$$\Big|\,B'(\gamma)\,\Big| \leqslant \frac{\pi}{2\,\gamma^2} \quad , \quad \Big|\,\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\theta}\,\Big| \leqslant \frac{1}{\gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad \Big|\,\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\gamma}\,\Big| \leqslant \frac{1}{2\,\gamma} \quad ,$$

thus we get: $\Big|\,\dfrac{\partial\widetilde{E_\nu}}{\partial\gamma}\,\Big| \leqslant \Big(\dfrac{8}{\pi^2\,\gamma^3} + \dfrac{4}{\pi^2\,\gamma^2} + \dfrac{1-\gamma^2}{\pi^2\,\gamma^4}\Big)\dfrac{\pi+1}{\gamma} + \dfrac{4}{\pi^2\,\gamma}\Big(\dfrac{1}{\gamma^2} + \dfrac{\pi+1}{\gamma^2} + \dfrac{1}{2\,\gamma^2}\Big)$

$$+\frac{2}{\pi\,\gamma^3} + \frac{2}{\pi\,\gamma^2} + \frac{3+\gamma^2}{2\,\pi\,\gamma^4} \quad \leqslant \quad 231$$

and: $\Big|\,\dfrac{\partial\widetilde{E_\nu}}{\partial\theta}\,\Big| \leqslant \dfrac{2\,(1-\gamma^2)\,(\pi+1)}{\pi^2\,\gamma^5} + \dfrac{4}{\pi^2\,\gamma}\Big(\dfrac{1-\gamma^2}{2\,\gamma^3} + \dfrac{1}{\gamma^2} + \dfrac{1}{\gamma}\Big) + \dfrac{3+\gamma^2}{\pi\,\gamma^4} \leqslant 107$.

Then we have:

$$\widetilde{E_\theta} = \frac{\widetilde{\beta}(\gamma)\,(1-\gamma^2)\,\sin^2(2\,\theta)}{2\,\sqrt{\cos^2\theta + \gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}^{\,3}} + \frac{\widetilde{\beta}(\gamma)\,\cos(2\,\theta_\perp)}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta_\perp + \gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta_\perp}}\,\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\theta} - \frac{\widetilde{\beta}(\gamma)\,\cos(2\,\theta)}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta + \gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}}$$

where: $\dfrac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\theta} = \dfrac{\gamma}{\cos^2\theta + \gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}$, thus we get: $\Big|\,\dfrac{\partial^2\varphi}{\partial\gamma\,\partial\theta}\,\Big| = \Big|\,\dfrac{\cos^2\theta - \gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}{\big(\cos^2\theta + \gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta\big)^2}\,\Big| \leqslant \dfrac{1}{\gamma^2}$

by studying this function of θ . It comes therefore:

$$\Big|\,\frac{\partial\widetilde{E_\theta}}{\partial\gamma}\,\Big| \leqslant \frac{1-\gamma^2}{\pi\,\gamma^5} + \frac{2}{\pi\,\gamma^2} + \frac{3\,(1-\gamma^2)}{\pi\,\gamma^4} + \frac{2}{\pi\,\gamma^3} + \frac{3+\gamma^2}{\pi\,\gamma^5} + \frac{2}{\pi\,\gamma^3} + \frac{2}{\pi\,\gamma^3} + \frac{2}{\pi\,\gamma^2} \leqslant 168 \quad ,$$

we also get: $\Big|\,\dfrac{\partial^2\varphi}{\partial\,\theta^2}\,\Big| = \Big|\,\dfrac{\gamma(1-\gamma^2)\,\sin(2\,\theta)}{\big(\cos^2\theta + \gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta\big)^2}\,\Big| \leqslant \dfrac{1-\gamma^2}{\gamma^3}$, and we infer:

$$\Big|\,\frac{\partial\widetilde{E_\theta}}{\partial\theta}\,\Big| \leqslant \frac{4\,(1-\gamma^2)}{\pi\,\gamma^5} + \frac{3+\gamma^2}{\pi\,\gamma^4} + \frac{2\,(1-\gamma^2)}{\pi\,\gamma^4} + \frac{3+\gamma^2}{\pi\,\gamma^3} \leqslant 156 \quad .$$

Finally, we have:

$$\widetilde{E_\gamma}(\gamma\,,\,\theta) = \widetilde{\beta}\,'(\gamma)\Big(\frac{\sin(2\,\theta)}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta + \gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}} + \int_\theta^{\theta_\perp}\frac{\cos(2\,t)\,dt}{\sqrt{\cos^2 t + \gamma^2\,\sin^2 t}}\Big) - \frac{2}{\big(1+\gamma\big)^2}$$

$$-\gamma\,\widetilde{\beta}\Big(\frac{\sin(2\,\theta)\,\sin^2\theta}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta + \gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}^{\,3}} + \int_\theta^{\theta_\perp}\frac{\cos(2\,t)\,\sin^2 t\,dt}{\sqrt{\cos^2 t + \gamma^2\,\sin^2 t}^{\,3}}\Big) + \frac{\widetilde{\beta}\,\cos\big(2\,\theta_\perp\big)}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta_\perp + \gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta_\perp}}\,\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\gamma}$$

and here again the numeric approach will be useful to get a sharp enough estimate. First, we get as above: $\Big|\,\widetilde{\beta}\,'(\gamma)\,\Big| \leqslant \dfrac{2}{\pi\,\gamma^2}$ and $\Big|\,B''(\gamma)\,\Big| \leqslant \dfrac{2\,\pi}{2\,\gamma^3}$, hence $\Big|\,\widetilde{\beta}\,''(\gamma)\,\Big| \leqslant \dfrac{8}{\pi^2\,\gamma^3} + \dfrac{4}{\pi\,\gamma^4}$.
Then we write patiently:

$$\frac{\partial\widetilde{E_\gamma}}{\partial\gamma} = \frac{4}{\big(1+\gamma\big)^2} + \widetilde{\beta}\,''(\gamma)\Big(\frac{\sin(2\,\theta)}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta + \gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}} + \int_\theta^{\theta_\perp}\frac{\cos(2\,t)\,dt}{\sqrt{\cos^2 t + \gamma^2\,\sin^2 t}}\Big)$$

$$-2\,\gamma\,\widetilde{\beta}\,'\Big(\frac{\sin(2\,\theta)\,\sin^2\theta}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}^{\,3}}+\int_\theta^{\theta_\perp}\frac{\cos(2\,t)\,\sin^2 t\,dt}{\sqrt{\cos^2 t+\gamma^2\,\sin^2 t}^{\,3}}\Big)$$
$$+\widetilde{\beta}\,\Big(\frac{3\,\gamma^2\,\sin(2\,\theta)\,\sin^4\theta}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}^{\,5}}-\frac{\sin(2\,\theta)\,\sin^2\theta}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}^{\,3}}\Big)$$
$$+\widetilde{\beta}\,\Big(\int_\theta^{\theta_\perp}\frac{3\,\gamma^2\,\cos(2\,t)\,\sin^4 t\,dt}{\sqrt{\cos^2 t+\gamma^2\,\sin^2 t}^{\,5}}-\int_\theta^{\theta_\perp}\frac{\cos(2\,t)\,\sin^2 t\,dt}{\sqrt{\cos^2 t+\gamma^2\,\sin^2 t}^{\,3}}\Big)$$
$$-\gamma\,\widetilde{\beta}\,\frac{\cos\big(2\,\theta_\perp\big)\,\sin^2\theta_\perp}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta_\perp+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta_\perp}^{\,3}}\,\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\gamma}+\frac{2\,\widetilde{\beta}\,'\,\cos\big(2\,\theta_\perp\big)}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta_\perp+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta_\perp}}\,\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\gamma}$$
$$-\frac{\widetilde{\beta}\,\sin\big(2\,\theta_\perp\big)}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta_\perp+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta_\perp}}\Big(1+\gamma^2+\frac{1}{2}\,(1-\gamma^2)\,\cos\big(2\,\theta_\perp\big)\Big)\Big(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\gamma}\Big)^2$$
$$+\frac{\widetilde{\beta}\,\cos\big(2\,\theta_\perp\big)}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta_\perp+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta_\perp}}\,\frac{\partial^2\varphi}{\partial\gamma^2}$$

where: $\dfrac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\gamma}=\dfrac{\sin\theta\cos\theta}{\cos^2\theta+\gamma^2\sin^2\theta}$ and: $\Big|\dfrac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\gamma}\Big|\leqslant\dfrac{1}{2\,\gamma}$, thus: $\dfrac{\partial^2\varphi}{\partial\gamma^2}=\dfrac{-2\,\gamma\,\sin^3\theta\cos\theta}{(\cos^2\theta+\gamma^2\sin^2\theta)^2}$.
The above estimates give:

$$\frac{\widetilde{\partial E_\gamma}}{\partial\gamma}=(\leqslant)\,\frac{4}{\big(1+\gamma\big)^2}+\Big(\frac{8}{\pi^2\,\gamma^3}+\frac{4}{\pi\,\gamma^4}\Big)\Big(M_0+\int_0^{\pi}\frac{|\cos(2\,t)|\;dt}{\sqrt{\cos^2 t+\gamma^2\,\sin^2 t}}\Big)$$
$$+\frac{4}{\pi\gamma}\Big(M_5+\int_0^{\pi}\frac{|\cos(2\,t)|\,\sin^2 t\,dt}{\sqrt{\cos^2 t+\gamma^2\,\sin^2 t}^{\,3}}\Big)+\frac{2}{\pi}\,(M_5+M_6)$$
$$+\frac{2}{\pi}\Big(\int_0^{\pi}\frac{3\;|\cos(2\,t)|\,\sin^4 t\,dt}{\sqrt{\cos^2 t+\gamma^2\,\sin^2 t}^{\,5}}+\int_0^{\pi}\frac{|\cos(2\,t)|\,\sin^2 t\,dt}{\sqrt{\cos^2 t+\gamma^2\,\sin^2 t}^{\,3}}\Big)+\frac{1}{\pi}\;M_7+\frac{M_4}{\pi\,\gamma^4}$$
$$+\frac{3\,M_0}{4\,\pi\,\gamma^2}+\frac{4}{\pi}\,M_8$$

where M_8 is the maximum of $f_8:\theta\mapsto\dfrac{|\sin^3\theta\cos\theta|}{(\cos^2\theta+\gamma^2\sin^2\theta)^2}$ and similarly:

$$f_4:\theta\mapsto\frac{|\cos\big(2\,\theta\big)|}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}}\quad,\quad f_7:\theta\mapsto\frac{|\cos\big(2\,\theta\big)|\,\sin^2\theta}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}^{\,3}}$$
$$f_6:\theta\mapsto\frac{3\;|\sin(2\,\theta)|\,\sin^4\theta}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}^{\,5}}\quad,\quad f_5:\theta\mapsto\frac{|\sin(2\,\theta)|\,\sin^2\theta}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}^{\,3}}$$

whose derivatives are bounded by $1/\gamma^6<200$. The procedure $\star_{Maple}$ above answers: $M_4\leqslant 2.419$, $M_5\leqslant 4.323$, $M_6\leqslant 75.277$, $M_7\leqslant 14.096$, $M_8\leqslant 0.10862$ and we already knew that $M_0\leqslant 1.4145$, so we obtain finally:

$$\Big|\frac{\partial\widetilde{E_\gamma}}{\partial\gamma}\Big|\leqslant 453\quad .$$

At last, we have:

$$\frac{\partial\widetilde{E_\gamma}}{\partial\theta}=\widetilde{\beta}\,'(\gamma)\Big(\frac{(1-\gamma^2)\cos^2\theta\,(2\,\cos^2\theta-\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta)}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}^{\,3}}$$

$$+\frac{\cos(2\,\theta_\perp)}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta_\perp+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta_\perp}}\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\theta}-\frac{\cos(2\,\theta)}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}}\Big)$$

$$-\gamma\,\widetilde{\beta}\,\Big(\frac{3(1-\gamma^2)\sin^2(2\,\theta)\,\sin^2\theta}{2\sqrt{\cos^2\theta+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}^{\,5}}+\frac{\cos(2\,\theta_\perp)\sin^2\theta_\perp}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta_\perp+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta_\perp}}\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\theta}-\frac{\cos(2\,\theta)\sin^2\theta}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta}}\Big)$$

$$+\frac{\widetilde{\beta}\,\sin\big(2\,\theta_\perp\big)\,(2\,\cos^2\theta_\perp-\gamma^2\sin^2\theta_\perp)}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta_\perp+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta_\perp}^{\,3}}\,\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\gamma}\,\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\theta}\;+\;\frac{\widetilde{\beta}\,\cos\big(2\,\theta_\perp\big)}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta_\perp+\gamma^2\,\sin^2\theta_\perp}}\,\frac{\partial^2\varphi}{\partial\gamma\partial\theta}$$

and the rough estimates produce:

$$\frac{\partial\widetilde{E_\gamma}}{\partial\theta}\;=(\leqslant)\,\frac{2}{\pi\gamma^2}\Big(\frac{1}{\gamma^3}+\frac{1}{\gamma^2}+\frac{1}{\gamma}\Big)+\frac{2}{\pi}\Big(\frac{1}{\gamma^4}+\frac{1}{\gamma}+1+\frac{1}{2\,\gamma^5}+\frac{1}{\gamma^3}\Big)\;=(\leqslant)\,144\;,$$

which is good enough for us. The Maple procedure:

```
n:=500; Mg:=0: Mz:=0: Mnu:=0:
for ig from 0 to n do g:=evalf(0.414+0.586*ig/(n)):
betatilde:=evalf(1/InverseJacobiAM(Pi/2,sqrt(1-g^2))):
for iz from 0 to 2*n-1 do z:=evalf(Pi*iz/(2*n)):
zperp:=evalf(z+Pi/2-arctan((1-g)*0.5*sin(2*z)/(1-(1-g)*(sin(z))^2))):
II:=evalf(int(cos(2*t)/sqrt(1-(1-g^2)*(sin(t))^2),t=z..zperp)+
sin(2*z)/sqrt(1-(1-g^2)*(sin(z))^2)):
dnuen:=abs(evalf(-betatilde^2*II/sqrt(1-(1-g^2)*(sin(zperp))^2))
+betatilde*cos(2*zperp)/sqrt(1-(1-g^2)*(sin(zperp))^2)):
dphiz:=evalf(g/((cos(z))^2+g^2*(sin(z))^2)):
dzen:=abs(evalf( betatilde*cos(2*zperp)*dphiz/sqrt(1-(1-g^2)
*(sin(zperp))^2)
+betatilde*(1-g^2)*(sin(2*z))^2/(2*(sqrt(1-(1-g^2)*(sin(z))^2))^3)
-betatilde*cos(2*z)/sqrt(1-(1-g^2)*(sin(z))^2))):
dphig:=evalf(sin(z)*cos(z)/((cos(z))^2+g^2*(sin(z))^2)):
dbg:=evalf(betatilde^2*g
*int((sin(t))^2/(1-(1-g^2)*(sin(t))^2)^(3/2),t=z..zperp)
-betatilde^2*dphig/sqrt(1-(1-g^2)*(sin(zperp))^2)):
III:=evalf(int(cos(2*t)*(sin(t))^2/(1-(1-g^2)*(sin(t))^2)^(3/2),
 t=z..zperp)
+sin(2*z)*(sin(z))^2/(1-(1-g^2)*(sin(z))^2)^(3/2)):
dgen:=abs(evalf(dbg*II-2/(1+g)^2-g*betatilde*III
+betatilde*cos(2*zperp)*dphig/sqrt(1-(1-g^2)*(sin(zperp))^2))):
if dnuen >Mnu then Mnu:=dnuen:fi: if dzen >Mz then Mz:=dzen:fi:
if dgen >Mg then Mg:=dgen:fi:     od:od:       Mnu;Mz;Mg;
MMnu:=evalf(Mnu+231*0.586/n+107*Pi/(2*n));
MMz:=evalf(Mz+231*0.586/n+168*Pi/(2*n));
MMg:=evalf(Mg+144*0.586/n+453*Pi/(2*n));
MderEnu:= evalf(MMnu+1.04753);
MderEtheta:= evalf(MMz+1.53551);
MderEgamma:= evalf(MMg+2.26776);
```

gives in 20 minutes the estimates used above:

$$\Big|\frac{\partial E}{\partial\nu}(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu)\Big|\;\leqslant\;2.48\quad,\quad\Big|\frac{\partial E}{\partial\theta}(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu)\Big|\;\leqslant\;4.41\quad\text{and}\quad\Big|\frac{\partial E}{\partial\gamma}(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu)\Big|\;\leqslant\;4.33$$

for all $(\gamma\,,\,\theta\,,\,\nu)\in[\,0.414\,,\,1\,]\times[\,0\,,\,\pi\,]\times[\,-0.0354\,,\,0.0354\,]$.

12 Estimates on the partial derivatives of cb and en

As in section 10, we set $h = 1/(2\,s+1)$, $A = 1+2\,h^2\,v$, $B = 1-2\,h^2\,v$ and $C = 1-2\,h^2\,u$, where $(u\,,\,v) \in D$ defined by: $1 \leqslant u \leqslant 5 \quad , \quad 0 \leqslant v \leqslant u-1 \leqslant 4$ and if $u \geqslant 9/4$:

$$v \geqslant \sqrt{\frac{128\,u^2 - 144\,u - 81 - 27\sqrt{9+32\,u}}{128}} \quad ,$$

as well as: $\alpha(u\,,\,v) = \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{u-v}}$ and $\beta(u\,,\,v) = \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{u+v}}$. The induction relation also writes $A\,\cos\theta_k\,\cos\theta_{k+1} + B\,\sin\theta_k\,\sin\theta_{k+1} = C$, and we set for each $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$: $A\,\cos\theta\,\cos\theta^\dagger + B\,\sin\theta\,\sin\theta^\dagger = 0$ to get as in section 7:

$$\theta^\dagger = \theta + \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan\Big(\frac{(A-B)\,\sin\theta\,\cos\theta}{A\,\cos^2\theta + B\,\sin^2\theta}\Big) = \theta + \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan\Big(\frac{2\,h^2 v\,\sin 2\theta}{1+2\,h^2 v\,\cos 2\theta}\Big)$$

and:

$$\theta_{k+1} = \theta_k^\dagger - \arcsin\Big(\frac{C}{\sqrt{A^2\,\cos^2\theta + B^2\sin^2\theta}}\Big) = \theta_k^\dagger - \arcsin\Big(\frac{1-2\,h^2 u}{\sqrt{1+4\,h^4v^2+4\,h^2 v\,\cos 2\theta}}\Big)$$

hence: $\theta_{k+1} = F(u\,,\,v\,,\,\theta_k)$ for all $1 \leqslant k \leqslant s$, where:

$$F(u\,,\,v\,,\,\theta) = \theta + \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan\Big(\frac{2\,h^2 v\,\sin 2\theta}{1+2\,h^2 v\,\cos 2\theta}\Big) - \arcsin\Big(\frac{1-2\,h^2 u}{\sqrt{1+4\,h^4v^2+4\,h^2 v\,\cos 2\theta}}\Big)\,.$$

It comes: $\dfrac{\partial F}{\partial u} = \dfrac{h}{\sqrt{u+v\,\cos 2\theta - h^2\,\big(u^2-v^2\big)}} = (\leqslant)\,m_u\,h = M_u \quad ,$

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial v} = \frac{2\,h^3 v + h\,\cos 2\theta}{(1+4\,h^4v^2+4\,h^2 v\,\cos 2\theta)\,\sqrt{u+v\,\cos\big(2\,\theta\big) - h^2\,(u^2-v^2)}}$$

$$-\frac{2\,h^2\sin 2\theta}{1+4\,h^2 v\,\cos 2\theta + 4\,h^4 v^2} = h\,F_v(u\,,\,v\,,\,\theta) = (\leqslant)\,m_v\,h = M_v \quad \text{and:}$$

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta} = \frac{-2\,h\,v\,\sin 2\theta}{(1+4\,h^4v^2+4\,h^2 v\,\cos 2\theta)\,\sqrt{u+v\,\cos\big(2\,\theta\big) - h^2\,(u^2-v^2)}}$$

$$+1 - \frac{4\,h^2 v\,\cos 2\theta + 8\,h^4 v^2}{1+4\,h^2 v\,\cos 2\theta + 4\,h^4 v^2} = 1 + h\,F_\theta(u\,,\,v\,,\,\theta) = 1 + (\leqslant)\,m_\theta h = M_\theta$$

where $m_u = \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{(u-v)\big(1-h^2(u+v)\big)}} \leqslant \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{1-9\,h^2}}$, but we need sharp estimates on m_v and m_θ and we will again obtain them numerically. The induction relation implies:

$$\frac{\partial \theta_{k+1}}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta}(u\,,\,v\,,\,\theta_k)\,\frac{\partial \theta_k}{\partial \theta} \quad ,$$

$$\frac{\partial \theta_{k+1}}{\partial u} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial u}(u\,,\,v\,,\,\theta_k) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta}(u\,,\,v\,,\,\theta_k)\,\frac{\partial \theta_k}{\partial u}$$

and:

$$\frac{\partial \theta_{k+1}}{\partial v} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial v}(u\,,\,v\,,\,\theta_k) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta}(u\,,\,v\,,\,\theta_k)\,\frac{\partial \theta_k}{\partial v}$$

for all $1 \leqslant k \leqslant s$, thus:

$$\Big|\frac{\partial\theta_k}{\partial\theta}\Big| \leqslant M_\theta^{k-1} \quad , \quad \Big|\frac{\partial\theta_k}{\partial u}\Big| \leqslant M_u\,\frac{M_\theta^{k-1}-1}{M_\theta-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \Big|\frac{\partial\theta_k}{\partial v}\Big| \leqslant M_v\,\frac{M_\theta^{k-1}-1}{M_\theta-1} \quad ,$$

hence if $k \geqslant 2$:

$$\Big|\frac{\partial\theta_k}{\partial\theta}\Big| \leqslant \Big(1+\frac{m_\theta}{2\,s+1}\Big)^{k-1} \quad ,$$

$$\Big|\frac{\partial\theta_k}{\partial u}\Big| \leqslant \frac{m_u}{m_\theta}\,\Big(1+\frac{m_\theta}{2\,s+1}\Big)^{k-1} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Big|\frac{\partial\theta_k}{\partial v}\Big| \leqslant \frac{m_v}{m_\theta}\,\Big(1+\frac{m_\theta}{2\,s+1}\Big)^{k-1} \quad .$$

For all $(u\,,\,v\,,\,\theta) \in K = D \times [0\,,\,\pi]$ we have:

$$cb(u\,,\,v\,,\,\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{u-v}}\,\cos\theta\,\cos\theta_{s+1} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{u+v}}\,\sin\theta\,\sin\theta_{s+1} - \frac{1}{2\,s+1}$$

and: $\quad en(u\,,\,v\,,\,\theta_1) \;=$

$$\frac{1}{2\,s+1}\sum_{k=1}^{s}\cos\big(\theta_{k+1}+\theta_k\big) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{u+v}}\,\sin\theta\,\sin\theta_{s+1} + \frac{s\,\sqrt{u+v}-\big(s+1\big)\,\sqrt{u-v}}{\big(\sqrt{u+v}+\sqrt{u-v}\big)\,\big(2s+1\big)}$$

hence:

$$\Big|\frac{\partial cb}{\partial\theta}\Big| \leqslant 1+\Big|\frac{\partial\theta_{s+1}}{\partial\theta}\Big| \leqslant 1+\Big(1+\frac{m_\theta}{2\,s+1}\Big)^{s} \leqslant 1+e^{\frac{m_\theta}{2}}$$

and:

$$\Big|\frac{\partial en}{\partial\theta}\Big| \leqslant 1+\Big|\frac{\partial\theta_{s+1}}{\partial\theta}\Big| + \frac{1}{2s+1}\sum_{k=1}^{s}\Big(\Big|\frac{\partial\theta_k}{\partial\theta}\Big| + \Big|\frac{\partial\theta_{k+1}}{\partial\theta}\Big|\Big)$$

thus:

$$\Big|\frac{\partial en}{\partial\theta}\Big| \leqslant 1+e^{\frac{m_\theta}{2}} + \frac{2}{2s+1}\sum_{k=1}^{s+1}\Big|\frac{\partial\theta_k}{\partial\theta}\Big| \leqslant 1+e^{\frac{m_\theta}{2}}\,\Big(1+\frac{2}{m_\theta}\,e^{\frac{m_\theta}{2\,(2\,s+1)}}\Big) \quad .$$

Similarly, $u-v \geqslant 1$ yields:

$$\Big|\frac{\partial cb}{\partial u}\Big| \leqslant \frac{1}{2}+\frac{m_u}{m_\theta}\,\Big(1+\frac{m_\theta}{2\,s+1}\Big)^{s} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}+\frac{m_u}{m_\theta}\,e^{\frac{m_\theta}{2}}$$

and

$$\Big|\frac{\partial cb}{\partial v}\Big| \leqslant \frac{1}{2}+\frac{m_v}{m_\theta}\,\Big(1+\frac{m_\theta}{2\,s+1}\Big)^{s} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}+\frac{m_v}{m_\theta}\,e^{\frac{m_\theta}{2}} \quad ,$$

then:

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\frac{\partial en}{\partial u}\Big| &\leqslant \frac{2}{3}+\frac{m_u}{m_\theta}\,\Big(1+\frac{m_\theta}{2\,s+1}\Big)^{s} + \frac{2}{2s+1}\sum_{k=1}^{s+1}\frac{m_u}{m_\theta}\,\Big(1+\frac{m_\theta}{2\,s+1}\Big)^{k-1}\\ &\leqslant \frac{2}{3}+\frac{m_u}{m_\theta}\,e^{\frac{m_\theta}{2}}\,\Big(1+\frac{2}{m_\theta}\,e^{\frac{m_\theta}{2\,(2\,s+1)}}\Big)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\frac{\partial en}{\partial v}\Big| &\leqslant \frac{7}{4}+\frac{m_v}{m_\theta}\,\Big(1+\frac{m_\theta}{2\,s+1}\Big)^{s} + \frac{2}{2s+1}\sum_{k=1}^{s+1}\frac{m_v}{m_\theta}\,\Big(1+\frac{m_\theta}{2\,s+1}\Big)^{k-1}\\ &\leqslant \frac{7}{4}+\frac{m_v}{m_\theta}\,e^{\frac{m_\theta}{2}}\,\Big(1+\frac{2}{m_\theta}\,e^{\frac{m_\theta}{2\,(2\,s+1)}}\Big) \quad .\end{aligned}$$

The steady computation of the second derivatives of f gives moreover:

$$\left|\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial v \partial u}\right| \leqslant \frac{(1+8\,h^2)}{\left(1-9\,h^2\right)^{3/2}}\;h \quad , \quad \left|\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \theta \partial u}\right| \leqslant \frac{8}{\left(1-9\,h^2\right)^{3/2}}\;h \quad ,$$

$$\left|\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial v^2}\right| \leqslant \frac{4\,h^2\left(1-2\,h^2\right)\left(1+8\,h^2\right)^2}{\left(1-8\,h^2\right)^4\sqrt{1-9\,h^2}}\;h + \frac{\left(1-2\,h^2\right)\left(1+8\,h^2\right)^2}{2\left(1-8\,h^2\right)^2\left(1-9\,h^2\right)^{3/2}}\;h$$
$$+\frac{2\,h^2\left(1-2\,h^2\right)}{\left(1-8\,h^2\right)^2\sqrt{1-9\,h^2}}\;h + \frac{8\,h^3\left(1+2\,h^2\right)}{\left(1-8\,h^2\right)^4}\;h \quad ,$$

$$\left|\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \theta \partial v}\right| \leqslant \frac{2\left(1-2\,h^2\right)}{\left(1-8\,h^2\right)^2\sqrt{1-9\,h^2}}\;h + \frac{32\,h^2\left(1-2\,h^2\right)\left(1+8\,h^2\right)}{\left(1-8\,h^2\right)^4\sqrt{1-9\,h^2}}\;h$$
$$+\frac{8\left(1-2\,h^2\right)\left(1+8\,h^2\right)}{\left(1-8\,h^2\right)^2\left(1-9\,h^2\right)^{3/2}}\;h + \frac{4\,h}{\left(1-8\,h^2\right)^2}\;h + \frac{64\,h^3}{\left(1-8\,h^2\right)^4}\;h$$

and finally: $$\left|\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \theta^2}\right| \leqslant \frac{16\left(1-2\,h^2\right)}{\left(1-8\,h^2\right)^2\sqrt{1-9\,h^2}}\;h + \frac{1024\,h^2\left(1-2\,h^2\right)}{\left(1-8\,h^2\right)^4\sqrt{1-9\,h^2}}\;h$$

$$+\frac{64\left(1-2\,h^2\right)}{\left(1-8\,h^2\right)^2\left(1-9\,h^2\right)^{3/2}}\;h + \frac{32\,h}{\left(1-8\,h^2\right)^2}\;h + \frac{128\,h^3\left(1+8\,h^2\right)}{\left(1-8\,h^2\right)^4}\;h \quad ,$$

and if $4 \leqslant s \leqslant 14$ we get $h \leqslant 1/9$ hence:

$$m_u \;=\; \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(u-v\right)\left(1-h^2\left(u+v\right)\right)}} \;\leqslant\; 1.061$$

and: $$\left|\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial v \partial u}\right| \leqslant 0.104 \quad , \quad \left|\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \theta \partial u}\right| \leqslant 0.963 \quad , \quad \left|\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial v^2}\right| \leqslant 0.112 \quad ,$$

$$\left|\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \theta \partial v}\right| \leqslant 16.02 \quad \text{and} \quad \left|\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \theta^2}\right| \leqslant 15.18 \quad ,$$

and the following C procedure estimates m_v and m_θ with a step of $1/(4\,n)$, hence and uncertainty of $2.3/n$ on m_v and $20.2/n$ on m_θ:

```
#include <math.h>
#include <stdio.h>
int main (void)
{ int s; int n; double mv; double mx; double c;
  int iu; double u; double vmin; int nv; int iv; double v;
  int ix; double x; double dfv; double dfx;
n = 100; mv = 0; mx = 0;
for (s=4; s <= 14 ; s++)
{c = (double)(0.1e1 / (double) (2 * s + 1));
  for (iu = 0; iu <= 5 * n; iu++)
  {u = (double) (0.1e1 + (double) (iu / (double) n) / (double) 0.4e1);
  for (iv = 0; iv <= iu; iv++)
  {v = (double)((double) (iv / (double) n) / (double) 0.4e1);
  for (ix = 0; ix <= 13 * n - 1; ix++)
```

```
  {x = (double)(0.3141592654e1 *(double) ix /(double) n /(double)0.13e2);
  dfv = fabs((0.1e1 + (-0.2e1) * c * c * u) * (cos(0.2e1 * x) + 0.2e1
  * c * c * v) / (double) (0.1e1 + 0.4e1 * c * c * v * cos(0.2e1 * x)
  + 0.4e1 *  c * c * c * c * v * v) / (double) sqrt(u + v * cos(0.2e1
  * x) + (-0.1e1) * c * c * u * u + c * c * v * v) - 0.2e1 * c *
  sin(0.2e1 * x) / (double) (0.1e1 + 0.4e1 * c * c * v *
  cos(0.2e1 * x) + 0.4e1 * c * c * c * c * v * v));
  dfx = fabs(0.2e1 * v * (0.1e1 + (-0.2e1) * c * c * u) *
  sin(0.2e1 * x) / (0.1e1 + 0.4e1 * c * c * v * cos(0.2e1 * x)
  + 0.4e1 * c * c * c * c * v * v) / (double) sqrt(u + v * cos(0.2e1
  * x) + (-0.1e1) * c * c * u * u + c * c * v * v) +  0.4e1 * c * v *
  (cos(0.2e1 * x) + 0.2e1 * c * c * v) / (double) (0.1e1 + 0.4e1 * c
  * c * v * cos(0.2e1 * x) + 0.4e1 * c * c * c  * c * v * v));
     if ((double) mv < dfv) mv = (double) dfv;
     if ((double) mx < dfx) mx = (double) dfx; } } }
  for (iu = 5 * n + 1; iu <= 16 * n; iu++)
  {u = (double)(0.1e1 + (double) (iu / (double) n) / (double) 0.4e1);
   vmin = (double)(sqrt(0.1e1 * u * u - 0.9e1 / (double) 0.8e1 * u
   - 0.81e2 / (double) 0.128e3 - 0.27e2 / (double) 0.128e3 *
   sqrt(0.9e1 + 0.32e2 * u)));
   nv = ceil(0.4e1 * (double) n * (u - 0.1e1 - vmin)) + 1;
  for (iv = 0; iv <= (int) nv; iv++)
  {v = (double)(vmin + (double) iv * (u - 0.1e1 - vmin) / (double) nv);
  for (ix = 0; ix <= 13 * n - 1; ix++)
  {x = (double)(0.3141592654e1 * (double) ix /(double) n/(double)0.13e2);
  dfv = fabs((0.1e1 + (-0.2e1) * c * c * u) * (cos(0.2e1 * x) + 0.2e1
  * c * c * v) / (double) (0.1e1 + 0.4e1 * c * c * v * cos(0.2e1 * x)
  + 0.4e1 *  c * c * c * c * v * v) / (double) sqrt(u + v * cos(0.2e1
  * x) + (-0.1e1) * c * c * u * u + c * c * v * v) - 0.2e1 * c *
  sin(0.2e1 * x) / (double) (0.1e1 + 0.4e1 * c * c * v *
  cos(0.2e1 * x) + 0.4e1 * c * c * c * c * v * v));
  dfx = fabs(0.2e1 * v * (0.1e1 + (-0.2e1) * c * c * u) *
  sin(0.2e1 * x) / (0.1e1 + 0.4e1 * c * c * v * cos(0.2e1 * x)
  + 0.4e1 * c * c * c * c * v * v) / (double) sqrt(u + v * cos(0.2e1
  * x) + (-0.1e1) * c * c * u * u + c * c * v * v) +  0.4e1 * c * v *
  (cos(0.2e1 * x) + 0.2e1 * c * c * v) / (double) (0.1e1 + 0.4e1 * c
  * c * v * cos(0.2e1 * x) + 0.4e1 * c * c * c  * c * v * v));
     if ((double) mv < dfv) mv = (double) dfv;
     if ((double) mx < dfx) mx = (double) dfx; } } }
}
printf("mv = %.10f\nmx = %.10f\n", mv , mx );
return(0);}
```

If $n = 100$, it answers $m_v = 1.042$ and $m_\theta = 5.272$ in 40 minutes, hence we get:

$$m_u \leqslant 1.061 \quad , \quad m_v \leqslant 1.065 \quad \text{et} \quad m_\theta \leqslant 5.474 \quad ,$$

which leads to the estimates used above:

$$\left| \frac{\partial cb}{\partial \theta} \right| \leqslant 16.5 \quad , \quad \left| \frac{\partial cb}{\partial u} \right| \leqslant 3.5 \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{\partial cb}{\partial v} \right| \leqslant 3.6 \quad ,$$

$$\left|\frac{\partial en}{\partial\theta}\right| \leqslant 24.1 \quad , \quad \left|\frac{\partial en}{\partial u}\right| \leqslant 5.15 \quad \text{and} \quad \left|\frac{\partial en}{\partial v}\right| \leqslant 6.25 \quad .$$

If $s = 3$, the same $n = 100$ leads in 4 minutes to:

$$m_u \leqslant 1.11 \quad , \quad m_v \leqslant 1.12 \quad \text{et} \quad m_\theta \leqslant 6.16 \quad ,$$

hence:

$$\left|\frac{\partial cb}{\partial\theta}\right| \leqslant 22.2 \quad , \quad \left|\frac{\partial cb}{\partial u}\right| \leqslant 4.35 \quad \text{and} \quad \left|\frac{\partial cb}{\partial v}\right| \leqslant 4.4$$

$$\left|\frac{\partial en}{\partial\theta}\right| \leqslant 32.9 \quad , \quad \left|\frac{\partial en}{\partial u}\right| \leqslant 6.46 \quad \text{and} \quad \left|\frac{\partial en}{\partial v}\right| \leqslant 6.9 \quad .$$

If $s = 2$, we have $h = 0.2$ hence:

$$\left|\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial v\partial u}\right| \leqslant 0.52 \quad , \quad \left|\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial\theta\partial u}\right| \leqslant 3.12 \quad , \quad \left|\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial v^2}\right| \leqslant 1.38 \quad ,$$

$$\left|\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial\theta\partial v}\right| \leqslant 12.68 \quad \text{and} \quad \left|\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial\theta^2}\right| \leqslant 100.33 \quad ,$$

thus the uncertainty on m_v equals $9.2/n$ and the one on m_θ equals $74.5/n$, so we choose $n = 250$ to get the estimates $m_v = 1.161$ and $m_\theta = 7.474$, hence we obtain:

$$m_u = 1.25 \quad , \quad m_v = 1.2 \quad \text{et} \quad m_\theta = 7.8 \quad ,$$

but the above estimates on the derivatives of en and cb would require $n = 500$ in section 10, hence 8 hours of computation in order to conclude. Fortunately, we can easily sharpen them: we have $M_\theta = 2.56$, $M_u = 0.25$ and $M_v = 0.25$, as well as:

$$\left|\frac{\partial\theta_2}{\partial\theta}\right| \leqslant M_\theta \quad \text{et} \quad \left|\frac{\partial\theta_3}{\partial\theta}\right| \leqslant M_\theta^2 \quad ,$$

thus it comes directly: $\left|\frac{\partial cb}{\partial\theta}\right| \leqslant 1 + \left|\frac{\partial\theta_3}{\partial\theta}\right| \leqslant 1 + 2.56^2 \leqslant 7.56$ and:

$$\left|\frac{\partial en}{\partial\theta}\right| \leqslant 1 + \left|\frac{\partial\theta_3}{\partial\theta}\right| + \frac{1}{5}\left(1 + 2\left|\frac{\partial\theta_2}{\partial\theta}\right| + \left|\frac{\partial\theta_3}{\partial\theta}\right|\right) \leqslant 10.09 \quad .$$

Moreover, if $w \in \{u\,,\, v\}$ we have: $\left|\frac{\partial\theta_2}{\partial w}\right| \leqslant M_w$ and $\left|\frac{\partial\theta_3}{\partial\theta}\right| \leqslant M_w\,(1 + M_\theta)$, hence:

$$\left|\frac{\partial cb}{\partial u}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{2} + M_u\,(1 + M_\theta) \leqslant 1.39 \quad \text{et} \quad \left|\frac{\partial cb}{\partial v}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{2} + M_v\,(1 + M_\theta) \leqslant 1.39 \quad ,$$

then:

$$\left|\frac{\partial en}{\partial u}\right| \leqslant \frac{2}{3} + \left|\frac{\partial\theta_3}{\partial u}\right| + \frac{1}{5}\left(1 + 2\left|\frac{\partial\theta_2}{\partial u}\right| + \left|\frac{\partial\theta_3}{\partial u}\right|\right) \leqslant 2.22$$

and

$$\left|\frac{\partial en}{\partial v}\right| \leqslant \frac{7}{4} + \left|\frac{\partial\theta_3}{\partial v}\right| + \frac{1}{5}\left(1 + 2\left|\frac{\partial\theta_2}{\partial v}\right| + \left|\frac{\partial\theta_3}{\partial v}\right|\right) \leqslant 2.81 \quad .$$

These estimates used in section 10 finish our proof of Grünbaum conjecture.

References

[CL10] B. L. Chalmers and G. Lewicki. A proof of the Grünbaum conjecture. *Studia Math.*, 200(2):103–129, 2010.

[Grü60] B. Grünbaum. Projection constants. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 95:451–465, 1960.

[KS71] M. I. Kadec and M. G. Snobar. Certain functionals on the Minkowski compactum. *Mat. Zametki*, 10:453–457, 1971.

[KTJ94] H. König and N. Tomczak-Jaegermann. Norms of minimal projections. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 119(2):253–280, 1994.

[Lew88] D. R. Lewis. An upper bound for the projection constant. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 103(4):1157–1160, 1988.